### Proceedings of the

# 24th European Workshop

## on

# **Thermal and ECLS Software**

ESA/ESTEC, Noordwijk, The Netherlands

16-17 November 2010



*European Space Agency Agence spatiale européenne* 

#### Abstract

This document contains the minutes of the 24th European Workshop on Thermal and ECLS Software held at ESA/ESTEC, Noordwijk, The Netherlands on 16–17 November 2010. It is intended to reflect all of the additional comments and questions of the participants. In this way, progress (past and future) can be monitored and the views of the user community represented. The final schedule for the Workshop can be found after the table of contents. The list of participants appears as the final appendix. The other appendices consist of copies of the viewgraphs used in each presentation and any related documents.

Proceedings of previous workshops can be found at <a href="http://www.esa.int/TEC/Thermal\_control">http://www.esa.int/TEC/Thermal\_control</a> under 'Workshops'.

Copyright © 2011 European Space Agency - ISSN 1022-6656

Please note that text like this are clickable hyperlinks in the document.

# Contents

	Title	page	1
	Abst	ract	2
	Cont	tents	3
	Prog	ramme	5
1	Tues	day 16th November 2010	7
	1.1	Welcome and introduction	7
	1.2	Presentation, demonstration of new TAS thermal software e-Therm and associated strategy	7
	1.3	Exchange of Thermal Model Algorithms via STEP-TAS	8
	1.4	Exchange of TMG thermal models via STEP-TAS	9
	1.5	Genetic algorithm shape optimisation of radiant heaters	10
	1.6	Development of numerical tools for design and verification of ablative thermal	11
	17	FSATAN2SS tool — from $FSATAN$ to Space State	11
	1.7	ESATAN Thermal Modelling Suite — Product Developments & Demonstra-	11
		tions	12
	1.9	SYSTEMA 4.3.4	13
	1.10	THERMICA-THERMISOL 4.3.4	14
	1.11	TMRT	14
2	Wed	nesday 17th November 2010	17
	2.1	LHP module for ESATAN & THERMICA thermal solvers, dedicated to	
		system level thermal analyses	17
	2.2	Use of ThermXL & THERMICA in THERMAL CONTROL ENGINEERING	
		for CNES BALLOONS VEHICLES	17
	2.3	Thermal Concept Design Tool — 4th Year	18
	2.4	Advances in Frequency Domain Thermal Analysis Based On Linearized	10
	25	Thermal Networks	18
	2.3	Flight Data	10
	2.6	Adaptation of LSS Thermal Radiative Models for Bepi-Colombo 10 Solar	19
	2.7	Constants Tests	19 20

### Appendices

A	Welcome and introduction	21
B	Presentation, demonstration of new TAS thermal software e-Therm and asso- ciated strategy	29
С	Exchange of Thermal Model Algorithms via STEP-TAS	37
D	Exchange of TMG thermal models via STEP-TAS	55
E	Genetic algorithm shape optimisation of radiant heaters	73
F	Development of numerical tools for design and verification of ablative thermal protection systems	85
G	ESATAN2SS tool — from ESATAN to Space State	101
H	ESATAN Thermal Modelling Suite — Product Developments & Demonstrations	111
I	SYSTEMA 4.3.4	135
J	THERMICA-THERMISOL 4.3.4	151
K	TMRT	169
L	LHP module for ESATAN & THERMICA thermal solvers, dedicated to system level thermal analyses	181
Μ	Use of ThermXL & THERMICA in THERMAL CONTROL ENGINEERING for CNES BALLOONS VEHICLES	199
N	Thermal Concept Design Tool — 4th Year	215
0	Advances in Frequency Domain Thermal Analysis Based On Linearized Ther- mal Networks	225
Р	Validation of the Martian Thermal Environment Modelling Method using Flight Data	237
Q	Adaptation of LSS Thermal Radiative Models for Bepi-Colombo 10 Solar Constants Tests	253
R	List of Participants	269

Harrie Rooijackers (ESA/ESTEC, The Netherlands)

9:00 Registration

9:45 Welcome and introduction

- 17:
- 17:
- 19

	strategy Thierry Basset & Jean-Paul Dudon & Patrick Hugonnot (Thales Alenia Space, France) François Brunetti (DOREA, France)
10:30	<b>Exchange of Thermal Model Algorithms via STEP-TAS</b> Alain Fagot (DOREA, France) Hans Peter de Koning & Harrie Rooijackers (ESA/ESTEC, The Netherlands)
11:00	Coffee break in the Foyer
11:30	Exchange of TMG thermal models via STEP-TAS Mouloud Bourbel (Maya, Canada)
12:00	Genetic algorithm shape optimisation of radiant heaters Bryan Shaughnessy (RAL, United Kingdom)
12:30	Development of numerical tools for design and verification of ablative thermal protection systems
	Marco Giardino & Elena Campagnoli (Politecnico of Turin, Italy) Gianni Pippia (Sofiter System Engineering, Italy) Massimo Antonacci (Thales Alenia Space, Italy)
13:00	Lunch in the ESTEC Restaurant
14:00	ESATAN2SS tool — from ESATAN to Space State Savino De Palo (Thales Alenia Space, Italy) Donata Pietrafesa (Sofiter System Engineering, Italy)
14:30	ESATAN Thermal Modelling Suite — Product Developments & Demonstrations Chris Kirtley & Henri Brouquet (ITP Engines UK, United Kingdom)
15:30	Coffee break in the Foyer
16:00	SYSTEMA 4.3.4 Marc Baucher (EADS Astrium, France)
16:30	THERMICA-THERMISOL 4.3.4 Timothée Soriano (EADS Astrium, France)
17:00	TMRT Mathieu Bernard (EADS Astrium, France) Thierry Basset (Thales Alenia Space, France) James Etchells (ESA/ESTEC, The Netherlands)
17:30	Social Gathering in the Foyer
19:30	Dinner in La Galleria

**Programme Day 1** 

10:00 Presentation, demonstration of new TAS thermal software e-Therm and associated

9:15 LHP module for ESATAN & THERMICA thermal solvers, dedicated to system level thermal analyses

Frédéric Jouffroy (EADS Astrium, France) Anne-Sophie Merino (Thales Alenia Space, France) Amaury Larue de Tournemine (CNES, France)

#### 9:45 Use of ThermXL & THERMICA in THERMAL CONTROL ENGINEERING for CNES BALLOONS VEHICLES

Gaël Parot (CNES, France)

- 10:15 Thermal Concept Design Tool 4th Year Andrea Tosetto & Matteo Gorlani (Blue Engineering, Italy) Harrie Rooijackers (ESA/ESTEC, The Netherlands)
- 10:45 Coffee break in the Foyer
- 11:15 Advances in Frequency Domain Thermal Analysis Based On Linearized Thermal Networks

Martin Altenburg & Johannes Burkhardt (EADS Astrium Friedrichshafen, Germany)

- 11:45 Validation of the Martian Thermal Environment Modelling Method using Flight Data Stéphane Lapensée (ESA/ESTEC, The Netherlands)
- 12:15 Adaptation of LSS Thermal Radiative Models for Bepi-Colombo 10 Solar Constants Tests

James Etchells & Duncan Gibson & Philippe Poinas & Giulio Tonellotto (ESA/ESTEC, The Netherlands)

- 12:45 Closure
- 13:00 Lunch in the ESTEC Restaurant
- 14:00 ESATAP Training

### Day 1

# **Tuesday 16th November 2010**

#### **1.1** Welcome and introduction

H. Rooijackers (ESA/ESTEC) welcomed everyone to the workshop and outlined its main aims:

- 1. To promote the exchange of views and experiences amongst the users of European thermal and ECLS engineering analysis tools and related methodologies;
- 2. To provide a forum for contact between end users and software developers;
- 3. To present the status of thermal and ECLS engineering analysis tools and to solicit feedback for development;
- 4. To present new methodologies, standardisation activities, etc.

H. Rooijackers also introduced B. Laine (ESA/ESTEC). He explained that he was the new head of the Thermal Analysis and Verification section at ESA, and had taken over from O. Pin (ESA/ESTEC) at the start of September 2010. (See appendix A)

#### **1.2** Presentation, demonstration of new TAS thermal software e-Therm and associated strategy

P. Hugonnot (Thales Alenia Space) presented a brief overview of the development of e-Therm as a replacement for CORATHERM, and described the long term strategy to extend the tool to cover the complete analysis process and possibly link to other disciplines. (See appendix B)

L. Tentoni (Thales Alenia Space) commented that the demonstrations had shown how to import models from ESARAD and CAD, and asked whether it was possible to build such models from zero. F. Brunetti (DOREA) said that the user could build models using e-Therm because it was a complete modeller, but said that it was also important to have an import facility from CAD. The demonstration had shown the import from CATIA-v5.

L. Tentoni asked whether there had been any cutting operations in the model shown in the demonstration. F. Brunetti said that the model had included cutting operations because this was a capability of the CAD tool. It was possible to click to select points in the CAD model, and that e-Therm would try to recognize geometric primitives and transfer them into the thermal model. L. Tentoni asked whether it was possible to use cutting operations when building the model yourself using e-Therm. F. Brunetti said that CAD models could be imported into e-Therm,

but e-Therm also provided primitives. The user could also create surfaces from 2D polylines and then mesh them using the GMSH mesher.

P. Ferreira (Max Planck Institute) commented that the video had shown many warnings during the import of the ESARAD model, and wondered what these errors were. F. Brunetti said that the warnings related to matching materials. The materials used by the existing internal model were already known, but those being imported from the external model were not yet known, and needed to be created in the reference database. This was the reason for the error messages.

B. Benthem (Dutch Space) observed that the video had shown a picture of 3D calculation on solid elements, and asked how to do this in ESARAD. F. Brunetti explained that the two videos were not linked: the first showed how to import a model from ESARAD; and the second showed how to import a model from PATRAN. The 3D calculation on solid elements related to the PATRAN model. F. Brunetti added that it was also possible to perform 3D meshing using the GMSH mesher, but then the user would need to use the e-Therm solver rather than ESATAN.

B. Benthem asked whether e-Therm also calculated radiative couplings. F. Brunetti said that it did, but only on the 2D thin shells that made up the skin of the model, and not on 3D solids. B. Benthem asked how the software took the radiative couplings into account. P. Hugonnot said that e-Therm made use of the EQUIVALE method that had been applied to 2D panels on telecom satellites for many years, and which had now been developed further for 3D.

B. Laine (ESA/ESTEC) had understood that the tool had already been released last year, and wondered whether there had been any feedback, and whether there were still plans to distribute the tool for free. F. Brunetti said that last year's release had been of the previous version, and what had been distributed had basically offered CIGAL2 pre- and post-processing. Thales now had e-Therm-1.0, and the next versions would contain full solvers because the CIGAL2 solvers could not perform all of the analysis chain. It would offer users simple import/export model capabilities and various calculations, but it didn't include the other analysis tools themselves. If users had already ESARAD or THERMICA then e-Therm could use them, but if users were missing these applications they would only be able to exchange models via STEP-TAS. He said that users needed the full integration of the analysis chain with STEP-TAS, and that this was now possible with e-Therm.

P. Hugonnot said that Thales would perform "corrective maintenance", so if users found and reported bugs then they would try to solve them. They were willing to look at adding new features as part of "evolutive maintenance" if needed, but they would need feedback from users. At the moment the tool addressed general user needs, and would be available on the market for a small distribution cost, and they hoped that they would receive community support.

B. Laine asked about the possibility of hot-line support: was there a telephone number that users could call? P. Hugonnot said that they would try to offer support as long as it didn't become too heavy. F. Brunetti added T. Basset (Thales Alenia Space) was happy for people to contact him with questions.

#### **1.3 Exchange of Thermal Model Algorithms via STEP-TAS**

A. Fagot (DOREA) presented some of the design considerations needed in TMMverter to handle the different features of ESATAN and SINDA/Fluint thermal mathematical models, and how these could be mapped via the STEP-TAS protocol. (See appendix C)

M. Bernard (Astrium) asked about the import of ESATAN models. He wondered whether they had considered creating a node export function that could be called from within ESATAN, rather than designing a new ESATAN model parser. A. Fagot said that one of the aims of TASverter was

to be independent of the software tools, and it was important to be able to import the tool formats without needing to have the tools themselves, although this raised problems because the tools were evolving. The ESATAN node export facility did not output any of the user logic, and TMMverter was a test to see if it would be possible to capture and exchange the user logic too. TMMverter was looking at importing ASCII models in order to simplify testing, because it was easier to read user logic in FORTRAN than trying to decode tool specific data files.

TMMverter was also trying to deal with the high-level concepts such as being able to convert a node definition loop into STEP-TAS rather than the specific ESATAN node definition loop. M. Bernard said that the FORTRAN syntax was more strict than ESATAN. A. Fagot agreed that the node definition was not easy to achieve in pure FORTRAN, but said that to handle user models, it was a question of parsing user code, and then applying the "visitor" design pattern to convert them.

#### 1.4 Exchange of TMG thermal models via STEP-TAS

M. Bourbel (MAYA) described the implementation of STEP-TAS import/export facilities in IDEAS/TMG and NX Space Systems Thermal using the software development toolkit provided by the IITAS project sponsored by ESA. (See appendix D)

S. Leroy (DOREA) asked how they had tested the thermal node numbering on the node faces imported from STEP-TAS. M. Bourbel said that they had tested converting from IDEAS/TMG to other tools and back again to NX-SST, and compared the result. It was possible to compare the two models side by side.

S. Leroy wondered whether it was now possible to show the node numbering and shell meshing in BagheraView. M. Bourbel said that they had done the comparison in NX-SST: each primitive in STEP-TAS had a number, and during import they applied the same number in NX-SST and could then visualise it, but he admitted that it wasn't a convenient way to check the numbering.

S. Leroy said that their version of BagheraView did not show node numbering, so they had found it very difficult to check. M. Bourbel noted that the list of primitives shown in BagheraView also contained the element number that came from NX-SST.

S. Leroy noted that there could be cases where it was possible to modelize entities but still have errors in the conversion. M. Bourbel admitted that NX-SST did not support non-planar quadrilaterals, and that these had to be split into two triangles, and would result in a change in the model during conversion, but NX-SST did give a warning to the user that the nodal breakdown could change.

H. Brouquet (ITP Engines UK) asked whether there was any plan to make the STEP-TAS files available to allow testing of the European thermal tools. M. Bourbel said that all of the models were available from the IITAS repository. O. Svensson (ECAPS) asked whether users had access to these models. O. Pin (ESA/ESTEC) explained that there was a central repository available to the IITAS developers, and that although the models themselves were publicly available, the repository itself was only available to IITAS developers, and not to general users. The repository had been created for the IITAS partners in order to support the validation of the tools. H. Brouquet remarked that ITP had not been notified that the IDEAS/TMG models were now available in the repository.

A. Fagot (DOREA) asked about project continuity once the IITAS funding and development finished, and whether MAYA had also tested exchange with the other IITAS software such as THERMICA and ESARAD. M. Bourbel said that they had used the publicly available models, but had not had direct feedback from the other IITAS developers. As far as future work on

STEP-TAS was concerned, Maya had several TSS and ESARAD customers who were interested in exchanging models, and he expected to hear from them once they became aware of the release of the STEP-TAS interface.

#### **1.5** Genetic algorithm shape optimisation of radiant heaters

B. Shaughnessy (RAL) presented high-level details of an old study into using genetic algorithms to calculate the shape of heating elements in electric cookers. He felt it important to highlight such alternative techniques. (See appendix E)

JP. Dudon (Thales Alenia Space) asked about the relative time taken in the preparation of the model, and how to choose the "best" solutions for input to the next generation of calculations, compared to the actual computation time. B. Shaughnessy said that when he had done the study, the preparation time had been mainly constrained by his own C++ capabilities, although the coding of the program had been straightforward. JP. Dudon explained that he had really meant the question from the user point of view. B. Shaughnessy admitted that the model had been very specific to the particular application. It had been relatively easy and quick to tune the parameters, but it had taken a few days of playing around in order to decide which parameters were needed, and their constraints. Once that had been decided, the run-time was then a few hours. He said that the main difficulty was choosing the parameters and the range of variation.

J. Persson (ESA/ESTEC) remarked that he didn't know whether the technique would be suitable for furnaces, but he saw that it could be interesting for thermal testing, especially for infra-red lamp analysis. B. Shaughnessy admitted that he had not tried this, but he had heard anecdotal evidence about thermal test problems due to heat gradients where he thought that this method might be useful.

B. Benthem (Dutch Space) noted that the example shown probably only had 8-10 degrees of freedom, and wondered whether it had really been necessary to try to optimise it using genetic algorithms. B. Shaughnessy answered that he could have used other techniques for the problem, but that this work had been part of a case study into using genetic algorithms. B. Benthem asked whether 8-10 parameters were typical for such a problem. B. Shaughnessy said that most systems using genetic algorithms needed to be developed with the particular problem and set of parameters in mind, as this would determine whether to use some sort of binary encoding for two-value parameters, or a floating point representation for parameters with a range of possible values. The combination and mutation of parameters to be passed to the next generation also needed to be tailored to the problem.

M. Gorlani (Blue Engineering) wanted to make the remark that this was interesting work, but also to remind everyone that Blue Engineering had done a study into stochastic algorithms for ESA in 2003, and that the results were available on the ESA site<sup>1</sup>. He said that for the last ten years he had been trying to take these methods further. B. Shaughnessy admitted that he was aware of the Stochastic study.

J. Etchells (ESA/ESTEC) asked whether the end result was sensitive to the choice of the mutation or crossover algorithm being used, and whether this could explain the differences between the triangle- and step-heating cases shown. B. Shaughnessy said that he remembered making some trials, and that it was difficult to optimise the mutation parameters. After making some initial trials, he had simply stuck with the same values. He had not looked into how to optimise it further. B. Laine (ESA/ESTEC) said that he was aware that genetic algorithms were also being

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> https://exchange.esa.int/stochastic/

investigated by Astrium Toulouse. F. Jouffroy (EADS Astrium) confirmed that they were looking at using genetic algorithms to correlate thermal models. At the moment they were only using the TMM scalar parameters, but the method showed that to improve the correlation there was a need for consistency between the test results and the prediction model. The prediction model had to be reasonably good otherwise the genetic algorithms would take too long to reach a solution. On the Astrium Toulouse side, all of the parameterization aspects of genetic algorithms had been performed in a previous study, and that they now no longer needed to consider the propagation and crossover aspects.

B. Shaughnessy said that he saw genetic algorithms as part of a separate tool for simple algorithms, such as how to determine the best heater position on a plate, but to then use the results from this separate tool in the main TMM. F. Jouffroy said it was clear that genetic algorithms had been shown to be a heavy method for optimisation. The Astrium Toulouse experience had been that the genetic algorithm approach always found the optimum solution in the end, and although their aim was always to find the best solution, in reality they were limited to using just 6 or 7 parameters in the correlation studies because of the computation time required.

# **1.6** Development of numerical tools for design and verification of ablative thermal protection systems

M. Giardino (Politecnico di Torino) gave a brief overview of the physical processes involved in ablation, and described the development of two tools to analyse models of 1D and 3D ablative decomposition. (See appendix F)

M. Komarek (L.K. Engineering) asked whether the models also included the external gas flow. M. Giardino said that they did not. The 1D code was based on a simple model from a 1969 NASA report for the Apollo missions, where the mass reduction was directly proportional to the gas ejection. The 3D code did not contain any reduction modelling, and only considered atmospheric pressure over time as an influence on the gas flux within the material.

#### 1.7 ESATAN2SS tool — from ESATAN to Space State

D. Pietrafesa (Sofiter System Engineering) presented details of the ESATAN2SS tool for converting non-linear ESATAN thermal networks into linear State Space models. (See appendix G) B. Benthem (Dutch Space) asked whether the method also handled radiative couplings, as these were non-linear with respect to temperature. Conductive couplings between nodes, GLs, were linear, but radiative couplings, GRs, were non-linear. D. Pietrafesa replied that the tool would also handle GRs, and that the software had been validated on both linear and non-linear models. It had been used on the Galileo models, which were non-linear.

M. Gorlani (Blue Engineering) assumed that the model was linearized at the beginning so that the tool could then handle it, and D. Pietrafesa confirmed this.

J. Etchells (ESA/ESTEC) commented that arithmetic nodes could not be added to the state vector, and wondered how the tool was able to handle their effect. D. Pietrafesa said that she did not know, and would need to ask colleagues.

B. Laine (ESA/ESTEC) asked about the linearization process, and whether there was a warning about being too far from the local state, and whether the temperatures would still be calculated correctly. D. Pietrafesa admitted that she did not know as she had not developed the tool.

# 1.8 ESATAN Thermal Modelling Suite — Product Developments & Demonstrations

C. Kirtley (ITP Engines UK) summarized the recent releases of ESATAN-TMS and described how the features that had been introduced were part of a roadmap defining the tool strategy, based on both feedback from users, and ITP's vision for an integrated modelling tool. He described some of the new features of ESATAN-TMS-r3, which was currently planned for release at the end of the month. H. Brouquet (ITP Engines UK) gave demonstrations of both the new features in the ESATAN-TMS Workbench, showing the import of finite element models, and the latest improvements to ThermNV. (See appendix H)

L. Tentoni (Thales Alenia Space) commented that there had been mention of the finite element mesh, and wondered whether this meant a particular mesh for use with the lumped parameter model. He was also concerned about lumped parameter nodes connected to finite element nodes and wondered whether they continued to be finite element nodes once values were assigned in the Workbench. H. Brouquet assured him that if they had been imported as finite element nodes then they would continue to be finite element nodes. C. Kirtley said that they continued to be finite element nodes but the usual Workbench calculations were available on them so the user could post-process them.

H. Kneistler (Astrium Space Transportation) asked about the availability on 64-bit Windows platforms. H. Brouquet said that this was a major item on their "to do" list, but the problem was the availability of the GNU FORTRAN compiler on 64-bit Windows. ITP had been looking at another compiler, but they still favoured using the open source GNU compiler in order to keep the cost down for the users He assured people that the 32-bit version would still work on 64-bit Windows machines. C. Kirtley said that ITP were doing their development on 64-bit machines. H. Brouquet said that they were not aware of any problems as long as the correct versions of Java, etc. were installed on the machine.

G. Sieber (Jena-Optronik) asked whether ITP had any test or experience of changing a lumped parameter model into a finite element model. H. Brouquet admitted that it would be possible to change the shell attributes from finite element to lumped parameter versions. He did not see any inherent problems as far as the software was concerned, but noted that the results would depend on whether the boundary conditions were applied to the corners of the mesh rather than the centre. He said that leaving the model as a finite element model would be much faster because there would be no need to run the automatic conductor generation as all of the node mesh would be congruent. H. Rathjen (Astrium GmbH) asked whether the new combined finite element model capability was related to ESATAN/TMG or the ESATAN export facility provided by IDEAS/TMG. H. Brouquet replied that there was no connection at all, and that using the new finite element capability did not require having IDEAS/TMG.

A. Jarrier (DOREA) asked how the user could import the CAD STEP file and then display the baseline geometry without the mesh. H. Brouquet said that in the demonstration he had shown how to import the model using the CADconverter. He stressed that the CADconverter was not a CAD viewer. The shapes were imported into ESATAN-TMS, and if the converter recognised a collection of shapes as equivalent to a primitive geometry shape, then those shapes could be converted.

S. Leroy (DOREA) asked whether to display the CAD model they had added polyline or b-spline element handling. C. Kirtley said that when the CADconverter recognised such a surface it would bring it in and re-mesh it as triangles. S. Leroy asked whether the CADconverter would handle high-level shapes such as a thick cylinder. H. Brouquet said that a thick cylinder would be imported as a cylinder and two disks, but that these would be thin shells.

P. Ferreira (Max Planck Institute) asked how the node numbering was handled during model import. What happened if the node numbers were already used? H. Brouquet said that the numbers would not conflict because the nodes were only given numbers when the model was assigned, or when the user redefined a shell and set or changed the node number explicitly. C. Kirtley said that the import process involved importing the CAD geometry and applying a mesh, not importing the node. P. Ferreira asked what happened if he needed a different node number per triangle: would he need to go through them one-by-one? H. Brouquet admitted that if the user wanted to impose a specific node number then they would have to do this manually.

H. Rathjen asked whether there was a limit to the size of CAD model that could be imported. H. Brouquet said that some CAD models were very large, and that was the reason for trying to display the model hierarchy, so that the user could import the CAD model bit-by-bit, and then simplify or impose the mesh for each submodel. He admitted that if the CAD model was made up of 500,000 triangles in a flat hierarchy then maybe it would be too big to import.

B. Laine (ESA/ESTEC) wondered about being able to go back to the original finite element model and apply the results calculated by ESATAN-TMS. What happened if the user simplified the mesh? Would there still be some traceability? H. Brouquet said that the NASTRAN converter would keep a mapping file so that when the results were sent to the mechanical engineer, they would still see the same node number. H. Brouquet said that if the model had been imported using the CADconverter, the user would need to turn off the shape recognition option in order to avoid simplification and to retain the original mapping. If the model had been imported from NASTRAN then the node numbers were retained. The software would keep a record of the state of the model when it had been imported, and the state of the model now, and so would output the original node numbers where possible.

M. Komarek (L.K. Engineering) asked how the radiative couplings where handled for the finite element mesh. H. Brouquet said that the radiative calculations were done on the shell, regardless of whether that shell had a finite element or a lumped parameter mesh. However, with a finite element mesh, the GR would be associated with the corners of the mesh, and with a lumped parameter mesh the GR would be associated with the centre. The radiative calculations were done on the geometry, not the mesh if the shape recognition was enabled. C. Kirtley said that the user could create user-defined conductive interfaces if conductors were not created automatically as a result of non-congruent meshes between shells.

#### 1.9 SYSTEMA 4.3.4

M. Baucher (EADS Astrium) presented recent developments of the SYSTEMA framework, which now allowed thermal calculations to be run on CAD as well as thermal models, import and export of STEP-TAS, and extended simulation facilities for all planets in the Solar System. (See appendix I)

H. de Wolf (Dutch Space) asked whether the moon calculations mentioned in the presentation were related to the Earth's moon, or any moon in the solar system. M. Baucher said that for the moment SYSTEMA provided details for the Earth's moon and the planets in the Solar System, but not for the moons of the planets. T. Soriano (EADS Astrium) added that simulation capabilities for specific moons could be made available to users on demand. He said that a recent study had needed details of one of the moons of Jupiter in order to handle the orientation of solar panels for a spacecraft in orbit, and that it had been possible to add this capability to SYSTEMA. There was one major problem: the moons of Jupiter did not have nice fixed Keplerian parameters because of the interactions with all of the other moons, and therefore it was necessary to update the orbit parameters for each analysis scenario.

#### 1.10 THERMICA-THERMISOL 4.3.4

T. Soriano (EADS Astrium) described the new features in the latest release of THERMICA and THERMISOL, including multi-threading support for the ray-tracing, visualisation of the ray-tracing, a new approach to conductor generation, and changes to the multiple time-step algorithms. (See appendix J)

M. Bernard (Astrium) wanted to know more about the management of the multiple time-steps, and asked when the \$VARIABLES2 block was run and therefore when the user had control of the execution again. T. Soriano said that the sub-timesteps were managed in exactly the same way as the main timesteps. Some nodes would not need recalculating for the sub-timesteps, and although they were really diffusive nodes, could be considered boundary nodes in the sub-timesteps. The same logic applied as usual, \$VARIABLES1 would be called at the start of the timestep, and \$VARIABLES2 at the end.

M. Bernard wanted more clarification. If he had a main timestep of 10s and sub-timesteps of 0.5s when would output be produced? T. Soriano said that \$VARIABLES2 could be written to produce output at the times chosen by the user. M. Bernard asked when the output was done: was it at the end of the main timestep or the sub-timestep? T. Soriano said that if the user specified to have output every 500s or 1000s then the output would be called at those times. There would always be synchronisation so that the main timestep coincided with the desired output times. M. Bernard wondered what would happen if he had some post-processing in \$VARIABLES2: would this be run every 10s or every 0.5s. T. Soriano answered that \$VARIABLES2 would be run every 0.5s, but it was possible to add a control variable so that parts of \$VARIABLES2 would only be handled as part of the main timestep.

J. Etchells (ESA/ESTEC) wondered about the ray-tracing on the CAD geometry, and asked whether this worked on NURBS or B-REP surfaces. T. Soriano said that the CAD geometry was imported "as-is" into SYSTEMA. He wasn't aware of any software system that displayed true curves and instead broke down such curves into small triangles for display. So THERMICA already had all of these small facets for the display of these shapes, and because of the improvements in the ray-tracing speed, could just use these small discretizations directly. J. Etchells commented that care would be required for specular surfaces. T. Soriano said that to handle specular surfaces the user should really change the CAD shape into one of the analytical shapes. This would give a better result, but there would still be a small error due to the stochastic nature of the ray-tracing.

B. Laine (ESA/ESTEC) asked whether THERMICA offered the new conductive coupling calculations by default, or whether the user could choose. T. Soriano said that the new conductive coupling calculation technique was the only method provided in SYSTEMA-v4. He said that it was very efficient, and was the only method he was aware of that had a mathematical proof and was consistent with the radiative calculations. He said that it also handled calculations on a non-compliant mesh without problems. The conductive couplings with a non-conformant mesh were well behaved, and mathematically proven. However, the new method was not yet fully implemented for all of the cutting surfaces at the moment.

#### 1.11 TMRT

M. Bernard (Astrium) presented a tour of the Thermal Model Reduction Tool aimed at the user level. He explained that the TMRT provided a harmonisation of methods used in Astrium Toulouse and Thales Alenia Space in Cannes. (See appendix K)

P. Zevenbergen (Dutch Space) asked whether the tool also included a means of renumbering nodes within a model. M. Bernard said that the user could specify a node-to-node reduction, and so the TMRT could be used for node renumbering, but it would be very inefficient. It would be far easier to simply edit the model, or use shell scripts. He said that the TMRT was used in e-Therm to provide a route from CORATHERM to ESATAN, so it was used in a non-reduction mode there.

M. Gorlani (Blue Engineering) asked whether it would be possible to have a trial version of the TMRT to understand how it worked and whether it could be used as part of existing tool chains. He was interested in looking at the compliance between temperatures and powers within detailed and reduced models. M. Bernard said that it might be possible, but would need to be discussed. T. Soriano (EADS Astrium) explained that there would only be a small fee (probably about  $\in$  500) to cover the costs of distribution, compiling, and basic support, but he thought that it would be possible to have it for a short term for free.

M. Gorlani said he did not think that it would be possible to use the TMRT as a black box tool, and that the user would need some understanding of the method before using it. M. Bernard said that not all of the users in Astrium where experts who understood the full mathematical details. He said that sometimes the reduced model produced was not as good as expected, and the user did not always know why, even though they knew that they should be able to do better. So M. Bernard agreed that it was better to know the theory behind the tool. He said a possible second phase of the project would be to produce guidelines on how to use the method, but he thought that it would take at least a year before there would be any progress in such an activity.

B. Benthem (Dutch Space) asked whether the TMRT was able to handle temperature dependent conduction. M. Bernard said that, no, unfortunately it could not. The tool took the set of conductances as a whole, and was only able to extract fixed literal values. So if the TMM needed temperature dependent couplings there would be a problem extracting them from the model. M. Bernard suggested that it might be possible to work round this by limiting the analysis to specific temperature cases, and then generating reduced models for each of the temperature cases. P. Zevenbergen asked about the use of the TMRT to determine the worst analysis cases. M. Bernard said that the TMRT could not know which steady state case it should use as the basis for the reduction. He suggested to use one reduced model for the expected hot case and another for the cold case. P. Zevenbergen wondered whether it would be possible to specify a temperature at the beginning and then let the tool choose accordingly.

B. Benthem asked what was required as input to the TMRT: a \*.d file? MB replied that the TMRT required a \*.d file, but with restrictions. The model could not make use of \$CONSTANTS parameters within the data blocks. Everything was extracted as literal values or expressions, so temperature or time dependent conductors and capacitances were not handled. The user might need to make a snapshot at given fixed values so that the TMRT could extract them from the model.

P. Ferreira (Max Planck Institute) asked how it was possible to guarantee temperatures and fluxes in the reduced model were within 10% of those of the detailed model. Or could this only be achieved by a process of trial and error? M. Bernard said that there was no easy way of predicting the quality of the reduced model, hence the need for guidelines. If you looked at the theory, there were ways of treating the differences between the detailed and reduced models. It was necessary to consider the hypothesis of proportionality. If you reduced an isothermal plate and one with gradients, then the reduced isothermal plate would radiate more and so you would need to raise the temperature of the average node to compensate. If you had both hot and cold zones in the node, you would need to transport more power into the hot zone. If there were groups where the gradient was limited, then the problem would be limited and the difference between the detailed and reduced models would be minimized. He said it was important to have a detailed thermal map in order to decide how to group nodes for the reduction process.

O. Pin (ESA/ESTEC) said that the TMRT was an interesting tool. He recalled that last year there had been discussion with Astrium UK about providing TMRT training in Stevenage. He wondered whether T. Soriano could talk to S. Price (Astrium UK) whether that would still be possible, or even whether it would be possible to have such a training in ESTEC.

M. Bernard said that the TMRT was available on Solaris, on 32-bit Linux and Windows platforms, and also on 64-bit Linux. He advised people that it was better to have the 64-bit version when trying to reduce complex models.

O. Pin wondered whether M. Bernard could say anything about the testing of the TMRT, and give an idea about the time taken for model reduction. M. Bernard said that the TMRT validation had just ended, and had raised an issue requiring a late bug correction in the last few weeks. There had been a lot of validation on simple test cases, and some more complex industrial test cases had been used to measure CPU time. In Astrium, where they had models of telecom payload panels with more than 40000 nodes, the old in-house tools had needed more than 4 hours to produce the reduced model. With TMRT, Dorea and Thales had improved efficiency and memory usage, a 43000 node model could be reduced in 2.5 hours, although this was on a machine with a lot of memory and a large disk. The time to perform the model reduction on simpler models of 3000 nodes was about 20s.

### Day 2

# Wednesday 17th November 2010

# 2.1 LHP module for ESATAN & THERMICA thermal solvers, dedicated to system level thermal analyses

F. Jouffroy (EADS Astrium) described the results of a partnership between the agencies, Astrium and Thales to produce a validated module for modelling loop heat pipes that could be included in both ESATAN and THERMICA. (See appendix L)

G. Sieber (Jena-Optronik) had understood that the test bed model had originally used a series of tabulated values, and that these had been replaced by the loop heat pipe module. He wanted to know whether there were any differences in results and computation time when using 12 loop heat pipes. F. Jouffroy said that for the Inmarsat model they had obtained a 2°C difference, but the reduced tabulated values didn't let the user see what happened within the condenser. They had found that they needed the loop heat pipe module to be able to understand the physical behaviour.

#### 2.2 Use of ThermXL & THERMICA in THERMAL CONTROL ENGINEERING for CNES BALLOONS VEHICLES

G. Parot (CNES) presented an overview of the various sorts of balloon systems that CNES had developed for use in atmospheric monitoring, and the differences in the thermal environment compared to space. She described the analysis of the thermal control systems within the payload gondola, and the results of a recent balloon flight campaign in Antarctica. (See appendix M) T. Tirolien (ESA/ESTEC) wondered about the coupling with the power and accumulator model and asked whether the goal had been to model the behaviour depending on temperature, or to predict the temperature. G. Parot said that there was a power model and the thermal network and that they were coupled together. The main interest had been to know the charge on the batteries and accumulators for the predicted flight trajectory and duration. T. Tirolien asked whether the model had been provided by an external company, and was told that G. Parot had built it herself. P. Ferreira (Max Planck Institute) said that the radiative environment was not the same as that of spacecraft in orbit and calculated by the space thermal tools. He asked how these additional nonorbital factors were handled. G. Parot said that it was necessary to calculate the factors based in the predicted trajectory. She used an Excel sheet for calculating various trajectory and related parameters such as the direct and diffuse solar flux, and other fluxes due to the different layers within the atmosphere. She felt that a proper tool needed to be developed for the calculation of the parameters relating to a given trajectory.

P. Ferreira noted that only one temperature had been used to describe the environment. G. Parot said that one temperature was used for the air temperature, and that the solar flux and view factors were taken into account.

P. Ferreira wondered about the worst case values that could be applied to all flights. Were there generic hot and cold cases, or did the worst cases differ for each trajectory? G. Parot said that it was difficult to define hot and cold cases, but she tried to define temperature margins for each trajectory by considering the solar fluxes, both direct and diffuse.

G. Sieber (Jena-Optronik) asked what inputs would be required for a thermal tool to calculate the boundary conditions. G. Parot said that the inputs would be elevation, latitude, time, and various things relating to clouds, such as the amount of cloud cover, altitude, type of cloud, and emissivity. The main outputs per trajectory would be the solar direct flux, and the diffuse flux, etc.

#### 2.3 Thermal Concept Design Tool — 4th Year

M. Gorlani (Blue Engineering) described recent developments of the TCDT based on four years of use and feedback. The latest features include a material database, improved attitude handling and visualisation, better 3D viewer interactivity, and through-conductor calculations. (See appendix N)

B. Benthem (Dutch Space) asked about the post-processing of results that had been shown. Were these results imported from another tool, or did the TCDT have its own solver? M. Gorlani explained that the TCDT simulation manager provided the means for geometry definition, mission definition, and thermal network definition, and then to run the analysis. The radiative and thermal analysis could be run using ESARAD and ESATAN if they were available. TCDT could generate the models, launch the software, and then import the results for post-processing within TCDT. For example, it was possible to show the temperatures and fluxes in both steady state and transient. The user could follow all of the procedures of the thermal analysis from within TCDT, but the user first needed to provide the configuration needed to run the external tools.

P. Ferreira (Max Planck Institute) asked about the requirements of the Windows and Excel versions, because he had tried to install the TCDT and had encountered some problems. M. Gorlani said that the TCDT had been designed to work with Office 2003.

#### 2.4 Advances in Frequency Domain Thermal Analysis Based On Linearized Thermal Networks

J. Burkhardt (EADS Astrium) gave a brief overview of the requirements and mathematical background for frequency domain thermal analysis and the linearization of thermal networks, and presented screenshots showing the user interface to the TransFAST software. (See appendix O) B. Benthem (Dutch Space) asked what was the advantage of using TransFAST to extend the analysis into the time domain compared with standard ESATAN. J. Burkhardt said that the only advantage was the linearized system, so the solution should be faster. He noted that using analytical models made it easier to linearize the system and improve the speed. B. Benthem asked whether the main advantage was the CPU time required. J. Burkhardt said that there was a big improvement in CPU time.

#### 2.5 Validation of the Martian Thermal Environment Modelling Method using Flight Data

S. Lapensée (ESA/ESTEC) described some of the challenges involved with modelling the Martian thermal environment, and how flight data from previous missions could be used to validate some of the model assumptions. (See appendix P)

M. Bernard (Astrium) asked whether S. Lapensée had found many correlation parameters on the model that could be improved, or was it more related to the environment. S. Lapensée said that for this mission, they had taken the environment and applied it to the spacecraft. They had needed to add variations to the surface finish due to the different levels of dust during the mission. They had assumed a fixed wind speed of 4m/s and had imposed the wind effect on the model. They had tried not to tweak the model.

M. Bernard said that they appeared to have achieved comparable results to the previous mission data. S. Lapensée said that some of the results were close to what had been achieved in the ground tests. The problem with the ground correlation was the lack of vacuum correlation because the lidar was not qualified to work in a total vacuum. The model predicted a temperature gradient across the structure, but the ground test did not show this due to convection effects. They had been reduced to hand calculations to confirm the results.

J. Etchells (ESA/ESTEC) commented on the lidar test and the effect of natural convection. He recalled the presentation by A. Quinn (Astrium UK)<sup>1</sup> at a previous workshop that had highlighted the Grashof number. S. Lapensée said that he was aware of that work, and said that it had not been possible to re-orient the lidar during the test because of the need for shooting through the chamber window. In the hand calculation for natural convection it is possible to show that it should occur under 1G on Earth, but the 0.3G of Mars was the threshold value of whether there would be natural convection or not.

#### 2.6 Adaptation of LSS Thermal Radiative Models for Bepi-Colombo 10 Solar Constants Tests

J. Etchells (ESA/ESTEC) described the Large Solar Simulator test chamber at ESTEC and the requirements for the Bepi-Colombo testing. The mirror and lamp system of the LSS had been modified to produce a convergent light beam, giving 10 solar constants at the centre of the chamber. Innovative changes had been introduced to the ESARAD model of the chamber to produce the correct beam characteristics. (See appendix Q)

P. Ferreira (Max Planck Institute) wondered why there was such a noticeable asymmetry in the solar beam calculated in the model when this was not shown in the actual measurements from the LSS. J. Etchells said that the real LSS had a number of discrete lamps shining on a mirror consisting of approximately 50 petals, so the variations in the measured beam intensity were due to individual lamp and petal alignment effects. The ESARAD model of the LSS used real geometric shapes, but the rotation of the chamber relative to the axis of the parabola introduced an asymmetry.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Implementation of a Mars thermal environment model using standard spacecraft analysis tools, Andy Quinn, 22<sup>nd</sup> European Workshop on Thermal and ECLS Software, 2008.

#### 2.7 Workshop Close

H. Rooijackers (ESA/ESTEC) thanked everyone for coming, and gave special thanks to all of the people who had given presentations. He hoped to see everyone again at the next workshop. Before finally closing the workshop, H. Rooijackers asked whether anybody had any comments about what they would like to see in the future.

- B. Shaughnessy (RAL) wanted to encourage more general presentations on thermal design. He felt that the workshop was currently biased too far towards presentations about specific tools. He wanted more papers of general interest that would raise awareness of work in progress.
- O. Pin (ESA/ESTEC) said that content of the presentations varied from year to year. Last year there had been lots of papers on analysis and design. It all depended on the users to contribute abstracts in time. He suggested that B. Laine (ESA/ESTEC), as his successor as head of section, could rename the workshop to reflect thermal analysis rather than thermal software.
- B. Laine wondered whether it would be possible to move the focus of the workshop to include more on engineering and testing.

## Appendix A

### Welcome and introduction

Harrie Rooijackers (ESA/ESTEC, The Netherlands)



To provide a forum for contact between end users and software developers

engineering analysis tools and related methodologies

- To present (new versions of) thermal/ECLS engineering analysis tools and to solicit feedback for development
- To present new methodologies, standardisation activities, etc.

24th European Workshop on Thermal and ECLS Software

2/12

23

European Space Agency











 Abstracts may be submitted online at www.aiaa.org/events/ices

24th European Workshop on Thermal and ECLS Software

10/12

European Space Agency

## **Appendix B**

### Presentation, demonstration of new TAS thermal software e-Therm and associated strategy

Thierry BassetJean-Paul DudonPatrick Hugonnot(Thales Alenia Space, France)

François Brunetti (DOREA, France)

#### Abstract

In Thales Alenia Space - Cannes, we have a long experience and expertise, in the thermal software development. Concerning this point, we work with external companies like DOREA. The subject concerns the presentation, the demonstration of a new thermal software in TAS Cannes (= e-Therm) and its associated strategy. This tool is funded entirely by Thales Alenia Space - Cannes and it should not have to be commercialised but freely distributed.

This presentation is an overview of e-Therm including videos - sequence of file operations on a science / observation case, a 3D conductive module case (pump) - listing of evolutions and functions created and defining the modularity and compatibility of e-Therm using market tools by directly plug in or data standard exchange STEP-TAS.

Then, we will talk about industrialization strategy especially based on using our thermal software and on the integration of expert tools (2D-3D conductive module, Thermal model reduction tool, friendly pre pro for telecom applications, CORAFILE, modelling / meshing) in order to improve and standardize the analysis process, in order to gain in cost and quality and for better input/output traceability. In the near future, we are going to integrate all other modules (radiative module, solver and all the pre and post-processing modules developed initially for CORATHERM).

In parallel with this industrialization strategy, we develop a strategy of openness of e-Therm by distributing software free of charge to TAS-Toulouse for antenna applications and TAS-Turin for infrastructures and instruments and more generally to TAS-Group and a lot of companies.

Moreover, it is possibly planned to extend e-Therm to other fields in physics: using in the electronic board calculation, using for simulating ESD on geostationary satellite, based on plasma / satellite interaction modelling ...



ThalesAlenia Schedule 1. Introduction & objectives: industrialization strategy for expert tools List of evolutions and function creation between reference release and e-Therm 2. Videos of the e-Therm 2010 release : sequence of file operations on a science / 3. observation case and 3D conductive case (pump) Modularity of e-Therm with market tools 4. Presentation of e-Therm : Final release (2.0) 5. Conclusion 6. 2 24th European Workshop on Thermal and ECLS Software - 16, 17/11/ 2010







ThalesAlenía Space 3. Video of e-Therm (2010): sequence of file operations on a science & observation case & 3D conductive case (pump) 7 24th European Workshop on Thermal and ECLS Software - 16, 17/11/ 2010 ThalesAlenia 4. Modularity of e-Therm with market tools Space Modularity & compatibility with ESATAN (via plug-in) : presented during Workshop 2009, the video showed the substitution of the internal TAS solver by ESATAN with ESARAD (via plug-in) : see previous video on science & observation case with THERMICA : to be done 8 24th European Workshop on Thermal and ECLS Software - 16, 17/11/ 2010


# Appendix C

### Exchange of Thermal Model Algorithms via STEP-TAS

Alain Fagot (DOREA, France)

Hans Peter de Koning Harrie Rooijackers (ESA/ESTEC, The Netherlands)

#### Abstract

In this presentation the next evolution of the exchange of thermal analysis models for space via STEP-TAS will be explained.

Apart from representing the pure passive thermal behaviour, space thermal analysis models have from the beginning (in the 60's) supported modeling of active behaviour, e.g.:

- thermostat or PID controlled heaters
- fluid lines
- Peltier elements
- thermal switches

and complex non-linear behaviour like temperature or pressure dependent material properties, interaction with hydraulic system elements and phase change in materials.

This was implemented in tools like ESATAN and SINDA through so-called user-defined logic blocks in a dedicated language called "MORTRAN", an extension of FORTRAN77. In order to fully exchange such thermal models TMMverter is developed, where TMM stands for Thermal Mathematical Model, the common name used for ESATAN and SINDA like thermal models. TMMverter is an extension of the existing TASverter tool. The first version will address the full exchange of thermal models between ESATAN and SINDA.

In order to achieve this complex task, a number of different data processing technologies and data exchange standards are combined:

- The ESATAN and SINDA model definition files are parsed using the ANTLR lexer/parser open source software.
- The structural parts of the models are converted into traditional STEP-TAS EXPRESS based data structures and .stp files, using a Python SDK generated with the Expressik tool.
- The user-defined logic (i.e. algorithmic) parts of the models are converted to a prefix mathematical language expressed in JSON (JavaScript Object Notation) and embedded into EXPRESS instances in the STEP-TAS .stp file.

This allows mapping from and back to the FORTRAN-based ESATAN and SINDA languages with minimal loss of information. The presentation will show how this approach could be beneficially used in many other applications.











41











DREA	General Architecture	
TECHNOLOGY		
·eesa_		
	<ul> <li>TMMverter architecture is organised around an Abstract Syntax Tree Model (AST)</li> </ul>	
	<ul> <li>The AST contains Class definitions of a common representation of TMM content (Blocks, Models, Nodes, Conductors, Mortran Statements,).</li> </ul>	
	<ul> <li>The AST is a Run-time memory representation</li> </ul>	
	<ul> <li>Thermal Mathematical Models are parsed with Antlr (lexica and semantic analysis)</li> </ul>	
	<ul> <li>The Antlr grammars populate the AST Model</li> </ul>	
	<ul> <li>A Set of Visitor Design Patterns have been implemented on AST Model</li> </ul>	
	TAS writer visitor	
	ESATAN writer visitor	
DODEA	SINDAfluint visitor	
http://www.dorea.fr	<ul> <li>JSON visitor (generates JSON encoded string to write in</li> </ul>	
info@dorea.fr Tel: +33 4 93 69 07 48 Fax: +33 6 64 69 17 00	SIEP-TAS).	
# 17	24th European Workshop on Thermal & ECLS Software ESA/ESTEC, 16-17 November 2010	

















formats like XML and ISO 10303-21 (as annotated string)

24th European Workshop on Thermal & ECLS Software ESA/ESTEC, 16-17 November 2010

54

DOREA

info@dorea.fr Tel: +33 4 93 69 07 48 <u>Fax: +33 6 64 6</u>9 17 00

# 32

# **Appendix D**

### Exchange of TMG thermal models via STEP-TAS

Mouloud Bourbel (Maya, Canada)

#### Abstract

In the late 90's, the European Space Agency "ESA" started the development of an information exchange standard for space thermal analysis models. This standard was based on ISO 10303, which is informally known as STEP "Standard for the Exchange of Product model data". The new standard was named STEP-TAS "Thermal Analysis for Space". Since then, STEP-TAS development has been completed. The standard has been documented and extensively tested

Recently, STEP-TAS has been implemented into Maya's TMG family of products, which includes NX I-deas TMG, Femap TMG and NX Space Systems Thermal. This new capability greatly simplifies thermal model exchange between Maya's TMG family of products and other thermal analysis packages.





History of STE	P-TAS /Thermal Model Exchange in TMG
1994	Initial ideas for STEP standard for exchange of thermal models
1995	Development of STEP-NRF & STEP-TAS
1997	
1998	STEP-TAS v1 and implementation prototypes
2000	Industrial Implementation of STEP-TAS. STEP-TAS interface for TMG Not successful: Complex, performance and memory issues
2002	Development interface to TSS, ESARAD &THERMICA Successful, but painful: 6 interfaces, different solver languages
	Simplification of STEP-NRF & STEP-TAS pyExpress compiler, code generator, TasVerter Open source STEP development toolkit Formalization under ISO Full Industrial implementation
2009	Industrial Implementation of STEP-TAS.
	TASTMG project
Page 4	





















<b>Models</b> 002, 003, 004, 005 102, 103, 104, 105 112, 113, 114, 115, 900 400, 401, 800
002, 003, 004, 005 102, 103, 104, 105 112, 113, 114, 115, 900 400, 401, 800
202, 203 300 500, 501 620 700

Validation – Exchange of different Meshes				
Test Cases	Models			
<ul> <li>Element Family         <ul> <li>Lumped Mass</li> <li>Beam</li> <li>Thin Shell</li> <li>Solid</li> </ul> </li> <li>Element Order (Thin Shell)         <ul> <li>Linear</li> <li>Parabolic</li> </ul> </li> <li>Element Topology (Thin Shell)         <ul> <li>Tria</li> <li>Quad</li> </ul> </li> <li>Free Meshing</li> <li>Mapped Meshing</li> </ul>	UpperStage UpperStage All modeles UpperStage 002, 004, 102, 104, 112, 114, 202, 300, 400, 401, 500, 501, 620, 700, 800, 900, UpperStage, PCB 003, 005, 103, 105, 113, 115, 203, 800, 900, UpperStage 002, 003, 102, 103, 112, 113, 202, 203, 300, 401, 500, 501, 620, 700, UpperStage 004, 005, 104, 105, 114, 115, 400, 500, 620, 700, 800, 900, UpperStage, PCB 002, 003, 004, 005, 102, 103, 104, 105, 202, 203, 401, 804, UpperStage 112, 113, 114, 115, 300, 400, 500, 501, 620, 700, 800, 801, 804, 900, UpperStage, PCB			
Page 21 2 4th European Workshop on Thermal and ECLS Software EXCENSE				
	24th European Workshon on Thermal and ECLS Software			
Validation – Materials & Physica	Properties			
Validation – Materials & Physica Test Cases • Material Type • Isotropic • Anisotropic • Orthotropic • Material Property Types • Null Property • Constant • Table • Eunctions • Physical Properties • Active and Passive Side definition	All models 800 800, UpperStage UpperStage 800, UpperStage 800, UpperStage 800, UpperStage 800, S01, 804, UpperStage, PCB 800 800, 801, 804, UpperStage, PCB			





If clicking on the picture above does not run the movie then try opening the file 'movies/TasTmgRoundTrip.html' manually.



If clicking on the picture above does not run the movie then try opening the file 'movies/HessiSatExport.html' manually.



If clicking on the picture above does not run the movie then try opening the file 'movies/IISImport.html' manually.



If clicking on the picture above does not run the movie then try opening the file 'movies/SojournerExport.html' manually.






**Appendix E** 

## Genetic algorithm shape optimisation of radiant heaters

Bryan Shaughnessy (RAL, United Kingdom)

#### Abstract

The shape of radiant heaters can influence significantly the radiation distribution and therefore the effectiveness of heating processes. I will present an automated shape optimisation technique for tubular radiant heaters. A Genetic Algorithm was developed that optimises parameters describing the heater shape with respect to a user-specified radiation distribution over a work-piece. Three sample cases are presented that show the developed GA to be successful.



















1 2

A B

2

After Crossover

3

3

C

4

4

D

5

5

F

6

6

F















 B. M. Shaughnessy and M. Newborough. "Genetic Algorithm Shape Optimisation of Radiant Heaters for Thermal Processing Applications". International Symposium on Advances in Computational Heat Transfer, 2001

## **Appendix F**

# Development of numerical tools for design and verification of ablative thermal protection systems

Marco Giardino Elena Campagnoli (Politecnico of Turin, Italy)

Gianni Pippia (Sofiter System Engineering, Italy)

Massimo Antonacci (Thales Alenia Space, Italy)

#### Abstract

In recent years there has been a great collaborative work between Thales Alenia Space Italia and the Energetic Department of Politecnico of Turin devoted to the study of ablative heat shields. Two different numerical tools for the analysis of the behaviour of charring ablative materials have been developed within the frame of a co-founded internal research program.

The first of these tools, called Ablatherm, is a Matlab®-based code that can be used during the initial design phase: it implements a simple model (that uses a very reduced set of material properties), it has a short case settings time and execution time and it is very flexible (it can be used both through a script file or a Graphical User Interface). This tool was tested using analytical benchmarks and real test cases and is now used by TAS-I for heat shield design.

The second tool, still under development, uses the state of the art both for the model and for the tool implementation, in order to perform rapid, full 3D simulations. This tool, developed on OpenFoam platform, implementing a more complex model and requiring higher test case setup time and a huge amount of material data that must be provided for a full run, is mainly intended for final verification of the full system configuration. For an intermediate design phase, it is also possible to use this second tool with a reduced set of parameters.



### DEVELOPMENT OF NUMERICAL TOOLS FOR DESIGN AND VERIFICATION OF ABLATIVE THERMAL PROTECTION SYSTEMS

Marco Giardino (Politecnico of Turin, Italy) Elena Campagnoli (Politecnico of Turin, Italy) Gianni Pippia (Sofiter System Engineering, Italy) Massimo Antonacci (Thales Alenia Space, Italy)













- Test cases → sizing of a silicon based ablator on different areas of the external surface of a re-entry vehicle.
- Available thermal-ablative properties have been implemented in both codes.
- Thermal simulation extended in the 1D simplified code to the reproduction of the convective and radiative heat exchange inside the vehicle.
- The pyrolysis heat behaviour as function of material temperature has been introduced in AblaTherm.
- W.r.t. CMA, AblaTherm does not account for:
  - Surface transport of chemical energy.
  - Convective transfer by pyrolysis gases:  $\rho c_P \frac{\partial T}{\partial t} = \lambda \frac{\partial^2 T}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial \lambda}{\partial x} \frac{\partial T}{\partial x} H\left(-\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t}\right) + \frac{H}{\partial x}$
- Difference in sizing results lower than <10%.







<sup>3)</sup> AblaTherm results, with prescribed heat fluxes correction

- 4) AblaTherm, with ABLAT heat fluxes correction
- 5) AblaTherm, with foam conductivity as function of atmospheric pressure

time [s]

-50





3 equation model (energy, solid mass and gas mass conservation equations) + closure equations (Ideal gas law, Darcy law) + BC (Amar, A. J. "Modeling of One-Dimensional Ablation with Porous Flow Using Finite Control Volume Procedure", North Carolina State University, 2006).

$$\begin{cases} \frac{d}{dt} \int\limits_{V} \rho e dV + \oint\limits_{\partial V} \phi \rho_{g} h_{g} \vec{v}_{g} \cdot \vec{n} dS - \oint\limits_{\partial V} k \nabla T \cdot \vec{n} dS - \oint\limits_{\partial V} \rho h \vec{v}_{mesh} \cdot \vec{n} dS = 0 \\ \frac{d}{dt} \int\limits_{V} \rho_{i} dV - \oint\limits_{\partial V} \rho \vec{v}_{mesh} \cdot \vec{n} dS = \int\limits_{V} \dot{m}_{i} dV \quad \text{for } i = 1, ..., n \\ \frac{d}{dt} \int\limits_{V} \phi \rho_{g} dV + \oint\limits_{\partial V} \phi \rho_{g} \vec{v}_{g} \cdot \vec{n} dS - \oint\limits_{\partial V} \phi \rho_{g} \vec{v}_{mesh} \cdot \vec{n} dS = \int\limits_{V} \dot{m}_{g} dV \\ \vec{v}_{g} = -\frac{K}{\phi \mu} \nabla p \\ p = \rho_{g} \frac{\Re T}{M_{a}} \end{cases}$$

- Pyrolysis gas in local thermal equilibrium.
- Pyrolysis energy absorption through mixture internal energy evaluation.
- Charring material (Arrhenius equation).
- Surface BC under development.











If clicking on the picture above does not run the movie then try opening the file 'movies/video2d.html' manually.



Development of numerical tools for design and verification of ablative thermal protection systems









98





## ANY QUESTIONS?

### THANKS FOR YOUR ATTENTION.

# **Appendix G**

# ESATAN2SS tool from ESATAN to Space State

Savino De Palo (Thales Alenia Space, Italy)

Donata Pietrafesa (Sofiter System Engineering, Italy)

#### Abstract

ESATAN2SS tool, developed and working in MATLAB, is a fast means to compute Linear Time-Invariant (LTI) models directly from ESATAN TMMs: in other words it translates ESATAN (non-linear) thermal networks into (linear) Space State models by computing matrixes A, B, C, D.

$$\begin{cases} \dot{x} = Ax + Bu\\ y = Cx + Du \end{cases}$$

Its main field of application is the design of control systems, such as the design of heaters with PID control laws, based on GOCE and HERSCHEL heritage.

To speed up the design of such systems it is useful to apply the (linear) Control System theory. For this purpose, it is usually required to have a model in the form of Space State (SS) or equivalent Laplace Transfer Functions (LTF). ESATAN2SS provides a simple and user-friendly way to derive the SS form by linearization of heat balance equations. In turn, the equivalent LTF can be derived with the simple formula  $G(s) = C(sI - A)^{-1}B + D$ .

The tool has been verified for several models: in all these cases the LTI models provides the same response as the original TMMs, showing that the ESATAN2SS linearisation correctly represents the thermal network. To make its utilization easier for first-time users, it is available in-house with simple run test cases documented as tutorials.

The navigation spacecraft Galileo is the first application of ESATAN2SS on a complex model, with significant analysis time savings. From now on, it is planned to use ESATAN2SS on all future applications where the use of Control System theory could have a positive impact both on design optimization and analysis time.



ThalesAlen		ntroduction		
		Page 3		
• What does	s it do?			
	ESATAN2SS $\rightarrow$ from ESATAN to State	Space		
	ESATAN – TMM State S	Space		
	$T = f(Q, GL, GR, C) \qquad \qquad$	x +Bu x +Du		
	A state matrix			
	<i>B</i> input matrix			
	C output matrix			
	D feedthrough matrix			
Noordwijk - 16/11/2010	24rd European Workshop on Thermal Tools and ECLS Software	THALES 2010, Thales Alenia Space		
ThalesAlenia Introduction				
Spa		Page 4		
<b>X</b> (state vecto	<b>x</b> $\rightarrow$ Temperatures array for nodes with C≠0 (state vector)			
Temperature boundaries array		array		
(input vect	or) Heat Loads array (over all non-bo	Heat Loads array (over all non-boundary nodes)		
<b>y</b> (output ved	→ Temperatures array (also fo	<ul> <li>Temperatures array (also for C=0)</li> </ul>		
Noordwijk - 16/11/2010	24rd European Workshop on Thermal Tools and ECLS Software	THALES 2010, Thales Alenia Space		



• Automatic recognition of Arithmetical Nodes  $\rightarrow T_{\text{NODES with C=0}} \notin x$ 

No GUI → >>[A B C D] = ESATAN2SS ('MODELNAME')

• Tested only on Windows XP 32-bit with Matlab R2009b

Noordwijk - 16/11/2010	24rd European Workshop on Thermal Tools and ECLS Software	<b>THALES</b> 2010, Thales Alenia Space










# Appendix H

# ESATAN Thermal Modelling Suite Product Developments & Demonstrations

Chris Kirtley Henri Brouquet (ITP Engines UK, United Kingdom)

#### Abstract

The presentation presents the overall status of the product, outlining the developments going into the next release.

Two demonstrations will be shown, running through the process of building and post-processing a model, demonstrating all the new functionality. The first demonstration focuses on building and running the model in Workbench, the second post-processing the data within Workbench and ThermNV.















## **Combined Finite Element / Lumped Parameter**















- Visualise CAD hierarchy
- Import CAD hierarchy only / undefined shells
- Rename shell
- Extract sub-component of CAD model
- Include Geometry option
- Model rotation
- **Orientation axis**
- **Recursive delete**
- Cut, copy & paste in model tree
- Multiple select in model tree
- Drag & drop in model tree
- Highlight selected shells

24<sup>th</sup> European Thermal & ECLS Software Workshop



### Demonstration

#### **ITP Engines UK**

- FE analysis option on shells
- Visualisation of "Free Edges"
- **Right-click menu** •
- Mesh/geometry refinement
- Shell side overlay
- Display of boundary conditions on FE shells / Nodes
- New thermal steady state solver SOLVCG
- Contour plotting
- Remove mesh outline •





- Allows range of an attribute to be displayed





24th European Workshop on Thermal and ECLS Software







### ESATAN-TMS r3

- Import of CAD Geometry
- Enhanced Model Tree
- Combined Finite Element / Lumped Parameter
- Enhanced Model Rotation
  - demonstration
- New Thermal Steady State Solver
- Extension of Post-processing Capability demonstration
- Import / Export STEP-TAS
- Ray Visualisation
- Maintenance

24th European Thermal & ECLS Software Workshop









24th European Thermal & ECLS Software Workshop









# **Appendix I**

# SYSTEMA 4.3.4

Marc Baucher (EADS Astrium, France)

#### Abstract

#### Thermal computation on mechanical and CAD models

SYSTEMA 4.3.4 introduces even more flexibility in geometrical modeling. Mechanical or CAD models can be imported from NASTRAN or I-DEAS (BLK BDF), CATIA (Step AP-203) or other tools (VRML). The THERMICA/THERMISOL tool chain can then be executed on these models without any shape modification or with partial modifications (for example to suppress some details, simplify some elements or create analytical shapes to replace discretized curved geometries).

Furthermore, SYSTEMA offers a large panel of intuitive tools to simplify geometry and easily assemble, compare and modify mechanical and thermal models. The version 4.3.4 now also allows saving within the model all the help items such as grids, lines or points which have been used to interactively create shapes).

#### **STEP-TAS interface**

For the last two years, we have been working with ESA and CSTB to get a complete STEP-TAS interface. It is now possible to directly import from SYSTEMA this format which will be converted to a v4 model, meshing and material databases.

Thanks to the v4 upgrades, this conversion is compliant with most of the STEP-TAS features. Boolean cuts are handled for prism and cylinder truncations and will also include the cutting plane next year. Distinct sides numbering are also available from version 4.3.4. The list of restrictions between the STEP-TAS capabilities and the SYTEMA ones have then been decreased to only a few elements such as the cutting plane, grid spacing (but they will both be implemented next year) and the sub-grid.

This import/export options have already been successfully tested on many cases, including industrial ones from the IITAS test plan but also additional cases.

This interface can already be activated in version 4.3.3 and will be fully available in version 4.3.4.

#### Wide Mission simulation

SYSTEMA v4 has been developed with the concern of managing the complete solar system in order to simulate any mission. The localization of all planets is known with an accuracy of a hundred kilometers at any time. In addition, rotational frames of the Earth, the Moon and other planets are also available and allow the definition of landed missions.

The mission viewers have been extended with predefined camera positions (from/to the Sun, Velocity, a planet ... ) and the possibility to represent the kinematics frames animated with the spacecraft. This realistic rendering is particularly helpful to understand the behavior of the implemented mission, especially when complex kinematics have been used. In addition to the analytical definition, it is now possible to study specific configurations thanks to 2 new options. The "Fix Sun" option can be used to freeze the relative movement of the planets and Sun to their positions at the beginning of the simulation. Also a "search" function is available to find the next date of a given Sun declination.















- CAD models are not always suitable for direct analysis
  - Overlayed shapes shall be cleaned
  - Shapes orientation need to be modified

EADS



- Large choice of shapes definition
  - +60 shape types : interactive and static creation







EADS
SYSTEMA     SYSTEMA     STEP-TAS detailed	STEP-TA	AS
Shapes	Compliant for all common shape types. SYSTEMA Antenna and revolved shapes not exported	
Frame transformations	Compliant	
Boolean cutter	Triangle prism and cylinder cutters are supported. SYSTEMA Generic prism is not exported. STEP-TAS infinite half-space is not supported but will be integrated in next releases	
Thermal materials	Compliant with the SYSTEMA material database.	
Meshing rules	Supported except of grid spacing (will be integrated in next releases) and sub-grid	
Thermal numbering	Compliant thanks to management of both sides in SYSTEMA v4.3.4	





### Mars Rovers and Ground Stations

- SYSTEMA provide an easy way to define ground objects
  - Just longitude and latitude on the planet are required
- Solar flux variations for THERMICA analysis
  - Daily variations due to the Mars rotational frame
  - Season variations due to the Mars orbit
- Earth and Sun pointing laws
  - Beta angle and pointing misalignment can be displayed in real time





If clicking on the picture above does not run the movie then try opening the file 'movies/MarsGround.html' manually.





- Keplerian propagator or quadratic interpolation
- Kinematics attitude and behaviour
  - Attitude can be provided in quaternion or rotation matrix
  - Attitude are defined on a local orbital frame, a inertial frame or any pointing direction available in SYSTEMA



# Appendix J

## **THERMICA-THERMISOL 4.3.4**

Timothée Soriano (EADS Astrium, France)

#### Abstract

#### **THERMICA Performances**

For its latest version 4.3.4, one major concern was the computation performance. The ray-tracing algorithm has been reviewed in order to speed-up its execution. Besides, THERMICA is now multi-threaded which allows to take benefit of the computer performance. Results and CPU time will be compared on significant cases, demonstrating that not only the accuracy of the v4 has been improved but the execution time has also become one of the strengths of THERMICA.

#### **Ray-tracing visualization**

Another major feature of the 4.3.4 version is the ray-tracing display in 3D view. In order to understand THERMICA results, ray paths can be displayed around the spacecraft visualization in the realistic mission render. User is free to navigate around rays and geometry, to filter by bouncing or emitting meshes, and to display in a same view results as shape color or textual value.

#### **THERMISOL Evolutions**

Performance issues have also been raised for complex models such as satellite systems including biphasic fluid loops. This kind of models requires small time-steps in order to converge. Therefore we decided to investigate on the multi time-steps algorithm already studied a few years ago. The principle of this algorithm is to adjust the main time-step so a certain percentage of the nodes (usually about 95%) have a good accuracy. For the other nodes, an adequate sub-step is used in order to get a full convergence of the system.







THERMICA Ray-Tracing Performances

### THERMICA 4.3.4

- Double Precision Multi-threaded software
- Uses a wide voxels discretization optimized for better performances
- Accuracy problems are avoided thanks to error controls in the raytracing process
- Performs computation **directly** on thermal nodes, then symmetries the results
- Write compressed hdf5 files (rad.h5 and optional box.h5)
- Uses dynamic memory allowing more complex models

SYSTER			Ray-Ti	HERMICA racing Performances			
Execution Time Comparison							
<ul> <li>Machine used:</li> <li>&gt; Red Hat Linux 64bits with 2 quad core processors</li> </ul>							
<ul> <li>Telecommunication External model composed of 3500 meshes</li> </ul>							
Geo-stationary orbit with 16 orbital positions and kinematics body							
Execution Time Summary:							
Versions	3.2.32		4.3.4				
Number of threads	1	1	4	8			
Radiation Solar Flux	83 min	28 min	11 min	10 min			
	3 11111 99 min	2 11111 20 min	1 11111 12 min	11 min			
Ratio vs 3 2 32	00 11111	2.93	7.33				
Ray-Tracing Improvements + Multi-threading							
SYSTEMA THERMICA Ray-Tracing Performances							
Ray-Tracing Performances: Conclusion							
<ul> <li>THERMICA v4.3.4 is a high-performance ray-tracing algorithm</li> <li>The performances mainly depend on the number of rays.</li> <li>The complexity of the model has a limited impact on the CPU time but increases the amount of necessary RAM</li> </ul>							
<ul> <li>This improvements allow to:</li> <li>Decrease the execution time (by about 7 on a quad core machine)</li> <li>Increase the model complexity</li> </ul>							

> Use directly CAD geometries on a thermal model

The v4 has now a performance level never reached in thermal analysis software

It is optimized for accuracy, fast execution and lower hard-drive memory usage











- ightarrow the mesh size shall be decreased to minimize the surface fluxes
- There is no mathematical evidence of the couplings solving equations
  - $\rightarrow$  it is not possible to quantify the error made



### The Reduced Conductive Network method is now mathematically demonstrated

Key points of the demonstration

▶ Definition of all linear solutions in a triangle (6 parameters to be defined)
 A set of 5 equations can be written to define them
 A 6th coefficient can be of any value (∀a ∈ ℜ<sup>+</sup>)

Introduction of a tiny power on the triangle surface Q<sub>S</sub> = ε<sub>S</sub>
 Then the 6th equation becomes∃a / T̃<sub>S</sub> = T<sub>S</sub> + a.ε<sub>S</sub> + o(ε<sub>S</sub><sup>2</sup>)
 Meaning that not all solutions of the linear case are solutions of this problem
 But it exists at least one solution among them (which we don't know...)
 The error made on the temperature is proportional to the power ε<sub>S</sub>



### Demonstration conclusion

Even if the analytical description of the couplings is not known, and even if the detailed network is written using only a linear solution (and not the solution of the real problem), The reduction of such network tends to the exact solution of the problem as the submesh size decreases.













- Minimize rejected computations
  - > Automatic prime-step leads to a lot of rejected computations (which increases CPU time)
- Minimize the number of nodes to re-compute
  - > All arithmetic nodes are systematically recomputed need to find an alternative
- Validation of the convergence vs time compromise
  - > More cases shall be studied to identify rules and possible improvements of the algorithm



# Appendix K

### TMRT

Mathieu Bernard (EADS Astrium, France)

Thierry Basset (Thales Alenia Space, France)

James Etchells (ESA/ESTEC, The Netherlands)

#### Abstract

The presentation will start with the introduction of the need to perform a thermal model reduction and present TMRT as one solution for the task.

Then the general working principles of TMRT and the way of using the toll will be presented. In conclusion, the availability of the tool will be announced.

The presentation will be user oriented and aim at convincing people to try TMRT.



## **Overview**

- Thermal Model Reduction Needs
- TMRT Origins
- TMRT Reduction Working Principle
- TMRT Other Functionalities
- TMRT User Interface

All the space you need

ASTRIUM

DIREA ThalesAlenía







- Each group defines a reduced model node:  $T_A = \sum_{G} a_{AG} T_G$
- The groups should be made considering the hypothesis of proportionality of the non-conductive powers:  $P_G = a_{GA}P_A$

**DREA** ThalesAlenia



 The reduced model is valid as long as the hypothesis above is well respected.

All the space you need Date - 5



**ASTRIUM** 
























### **Appendix L**

# LHP module for ESATAN & THERMICA thermal solvers, dedicated to system level thermal analyses

Frédéric Jouffroy (EADS Astrium, France)

Anne-Sophie Merino (Thales Alenia Space, France)

Amaury Larue de Tournemine (CNES, France)

#### Abstract

A Loop Heat Pipe (LHP) is a key two-phase technology for reaching, at low mass and cost, the heat transportation capability needed by recent advanced space missions, either in terms of large amounts of heat to be transferred (more than 10 kW) or of reduced temperature gradients between dissipative units and the corresponding radiator area.

Although LHP technology is now available to be included in future systems, its practical use for industrial projects is in practice related to the possibility of predicting the thermal behaviour and mutual thermal interactions between LHPs and a space system. The objective of a LHP software module is to provide a sufficient LHP model for system analyses that can be easily plugged into usual thermal models developed by European space industry (ie based on Esatan and Thermica thermal solvers).

A LHP Module has been developed through a partnership including ESA and CNES agencies, and EADS Astrium and Thales Alenia Space (TAS) industrial companies. EADS Astrium was mainly in charge of the software development while TAS efforts were focused on validation.

The LHP Module is based on the use of the standard ESATAN \$ELEMENT feature such that it can be directly interfaced as a submodel with any existing model. A standard simple evaporator model is used, involving 3 or 5 thermal nodes depending on data provided by the LHP supplier. Tubing (vapour line, condenser and liquid line) modelling developed includes thermal and hydraulic aspects. The meshing is to be defined by the thermal engineer according to and consistent with the one existing in the corresponding system model (radiators and panels). Several different tubing sections can be set for liquid and vapour lines in order to take into account for instance the different flexible sections for a deployable radiator; it is also possible to model multi-condensers with up to 5 parallel branches.

The LHP software module is mainly dedicated to transient problems, allowing to predict LHP stop and start events. Steady-state situations can in practice be handled by running stabilized transient cases. In order to ease integration of LHPs within system models, the different LHP components (fluid used and hardware parts: evaporator, condenser, vapour and liquid line hardware) and assembly are defined in separate external files to be referenced in the system model; this reduces the changes to be applied to the system model as much as possible and enables the construction of libraries of component files for future reuse.

Validation of the LHP module has been performed through comparison of temperature predictions with measurements for different hardware and configurations, including flight measurements, such as Inmarsat-IV, Delphrad and Com2plex. Correlation less than  $5^{\circ}$  could be obtained in many cases.

The LHP module is available for the European thermal space community as a "black box" tool compatible with available Thermisol v4.3.3 and the next version of Esatan planned to be released by end 2010.

# LHP Module for ESATAN & THERMICA thermal solvers, dedicated to system level thermal analyses

Frédéric JOUFFROY, EADS Astrium

Anne-Sophie MERINO, Thales Alenia Space

Amaury LARUE DE TOURNEMINE, CNES

24th European Workshop on Thermal and ECLS Software

16-17 November 2010







## Modelling capabilities

#### LHP behaviour

- Thermo-hydraulic heat transfer
  - <u>Tubing nodes</u>+fluid nodes modelling, mass flow prediction
  - All heat transfer configurations managed (cooling & heating)
    - Vapour & liquid 1-phase heat transfer
    - 2-phases vapour/liquid heat transfer.
- LHP start & stop prediction (unit switch on/off)
  - Minimum/maximum acceptable transferred power
  - Evaporator-reservoir gradient

#### LHP configurations

5

• Evaporator: designs supported through generic simplified modelling

STRIUM ThalesAlenia

- Vapour line: Different tubing sections (flexible, rigid)
- Condenser: Multiple branches
- Liquid line: Different tubing sections

Interaction with system model Several LHPs can be used within a system model Any radiative/conductive interface can be modelled Tubing meshing (vapour line, condenser, liquid line) to be defined according to system model need Dissip. unit vapor line vaporator LHP radiator module Liquid line System model Interface modelling has a strong influence on results ! STRIUM ThalesAlenia COES 6 eesa























### Interfacing LHP module with S/L model

- Evaporator-condenser power tabulated conductances replaced by 12 LHP modules instances
- Discrepancies to flight measures < 8°C</li>
- Time step reduction needed ⇒ Computation duration ≈x 10
   Initial time step











# Software availability

#### Black box version

- Confidential code put in object library, to be used as other userdefined libraries
- Availability for last version v2.6.2
  - Thermica solver: OK, for version >= v4.3
  - Esatan : planned 01-2011 for new version delivered November 2010

#### Available for free to the community

- Black box version + Software User Manual + Installation manual
- On-line support : organisation still to be defined (limited support during guarantee period)

STRIUM ThalesAlenia COES COES

- Contacts:
  - Astrium: <u>frederic.jouffroy@astrium.eads.net</u>
  - TAS: <u>anne.sophie.merino@thalesaleniaspace.com</u>
  - CNES: <u>Amaury.Laruedetournemine@cnes.fr</u>

```
23
```







- up to 99 nodes & 5 sections to define flexible & rigid parts
- Condenser
  - Up to 398 nodes
  - Up to 5 fully parallel branches Note: No intermediate topology applicable for computation
- Liquid line
  - Up to199 nodes & 5 sections
- Possible use of standard homogeneous tubing heating



OK

NO

### LHP definition: hardware components

### On file per LHP item

- Evaporator (mini-LHP, LHP<sup>2</sup>,...)
- Vapour line (config specific)
- Condenser (generic or specific)
- Liquid line (config specific)
- Fluid (NH3,Water)

### Example: condenser

- Number of branches
- Length for each branch
- Tubing
  - Hydraulic & thermal diameters
  - Linear conductance & capacitance

# Files can be shared/reused for multiple LHP use & different applications (fluids, evaporators, condensers)

#### 27



- \*.EVAPHW
  - \*.VAPLHW
  - \*.CONDHW
  - \*.LIQLHW
  - \*.PPTY

<ul> <li>LHP Operation reporting in .out file:</li> <li>Input characteristics check         <ul> <li>⇒ All LHP definition data used (content of files) systematically output at start of run</li> </ul> </li> </ul>		
	LHP MODULE version: 2.6.2 ===== LHP SUBMODEL: LHP1 INPUT PARAMETERS ===== 	
	Acceptance delivery case for LHP module FLUID DEFINITION DEFINED BY FILE : NH3.PPTY Ammonia NH3 NIST reference	
	EVAPORATOR HARDWARE DEFINITION         DEFINED BY FILE : DELIV.EVAPHW         LHP module acceptance case         SADDLE CAPACITANCE (J/K)       :         BODY CAPACITANCE (J/K)       :         RESERVOIR BODY CAPACITANCE (J/K)       :         RESERVOIR VOLUME (m3)       :	
29	Space Space Construints Strates Strate	





Appendix M

### Use of ThermXL & THERMICA in THERMAL CONTROL ENGINEERING for CNES BALLOONS VEHICLES

Gaël Parot (CNES, France)

#### Abstract

Different kinds of stratospheric & troposheric terrestrial aerostats and planetary balloons are developed and used by CNES in order to answer the various demands of the international scientific community. Each type of terrestrial balloon has its own flight domain. It is supposed to fly all over the Earth, to be launched at any time and from everywhere. Hence the aerostats can see various external thermal environments.

The thermal aspects are taken into account in the overall design and verification process of the aerostat (balloon envelope, operational and payload gondolas). The balloon's thermal environment has also a significant effect on the aerostat in-flight behaviour (flight physics) and is of first importance for long-duration flights due to the limited electrical power available. Thus, thermal models are used during balloon campaigns. The results of the thermal and energy balance (for a predicted trajectory) are one of the information considered to decide on the feasibility of a flight.

The contents of the presentation are as follows:

- Overall presentation of CNES aerostats
- Terrestrial aerostats thermal environment
- Thermal engineering (main concerns)
- An example of thermal analysis / ConcordIasi campaign (long duration flight) Prediction of gondolas autonomy in terms of electrical energy: presentation of the thermal models (use of THERMICA and ThermXL tools)









### cnes

#### **MIR (IR montgolfiere)**

Main characteristics:

- Hot air balloon
- The upper part is aluminized in order to capture the IR ascending heat flux (lower part is translucent to the IR flux)
- Volume is 45000m<sup>3</sup>
- Payload is 40 to 60kg
- Flight duration : ~several weeks / up to some months
- Elevation : 28 to 30km during the day, 18 to 22km during the night



24rd European Workshop on Thermal and ECLS Software



24rd European Workshop on Thermal and ECLS Software











### Cnes

#### **CONCORDIASI**



























¢ cnes	
CONCLUSION	
CNES offers different kinds of terrestrial aerostats, which are supposed to fly all over the Earth and to be launched at any time and from everywhere	
→ Significant effort must be provided in order to get a better knowledge of external environment (in-flight measurements) & thermal tool to be developed for calculating external boundary conditions	
Thermal science is considered in design and verification process, and it concerns both the envelops and gondolas Balloon activity is very specific	
Employing the thermal tools used in Space Industry is under investigation (there are other applications than BPS gondolas / McMurdo campaign)	
Thermal aspects play an important role in the autonomy of renewable energy gondolas (cf. Concordiasi project / McMurdo campaign)	
ThermXL tool is appropriated to conjugated thermal / energetic analysis or relatively small TCS nodal models &THERMICA is also well appropriated for the computation of radiative exchanges into the gondolas	
24rd European Workshop on Thermal and ECLS Software	26



# **Appendix N**

### Thermal Concept Design Tool 4th Year

Andrea Tosetto Matteo Gorlani (Blue Engineering, Italy)

Harrie Rooijackers (ESA/ESTEC, The Netherlands)

#### Abstract

The TCDT is in the 4th year of distribution and maintenence. During this period the tool has evolved both according to the improvements required by the users and the enhancements included in the development plan in the frame of the maintenance contract.

The first applications of the tool in space projects have been done also in BLUE Engineering where the TCDT has been used for geometrical, thermal modelling and results postprocessing. Notwithstanding the TCDT has been designed for pre-phase A and phase A, thanks to its flexibility the tool has been very useful and efficient also for analysis and design activities in later phases of projects.

The TCDT version 1.4.0, developed within this year, is ready for the delivery to the European Thermal Community. This last version implements the following new functionalities required by the users:

- the Material DB
- user defined S/C attitudes
- 3DViewer interactivity
- normal thermal conductivity in the geometric nodes definition

The engineers can easily use TCDT models of older versions thanks to the automatic converter provided by the 1.4.0 version.




Andrea Tosetto Matteo Gorlani Blue Engineering, Torino, Italy Harrie Rooijackers European Space Agency, Noordwijk, The Netherlands

24<sup>nd</sup> European Thermal and ECLS Software Workshop 16-17 November 2010, ESA/ESTEC Sheet 1



\*\*

ue

eesa\_\_\_\_



- Adding Normal Conductivity to surfaces
- Material Database
- User defined attitudes
- Improved 3D Viewer and Modeltree
- Version Converter

24nd European Thermal and ECLS Software Workshop 16-17 November 2010, ESA/ESTEC Sheet 4

blue

eesa\_\_\_\_







24nd European Thermal and ECLS Software Workshop 16-17 November 2010, ESA/ESTEC Sheet 8

\*\* blue eesa\_\_\_\_ neering





TCDT Improvements (8/8)
Version Converter
Performs the necessary operations to update an old model file (created with version 1.3.x) to the new template, maintaining all the data present in the model.
TCDT Message         The current file will be converted in the version 1.4         A backup copy named "Workshop_Finalres_old.xls_" will be saved.         OK
24nd European Thermal and ECLS Software Workshop 16-17 November 2010, ESA/ESTEC Sheet 12





tcdtsw@blue-group.it

Blue Group - Engineering & Design WEB: http://www.blue-group.it

Dr. Harrie Rooijackers - Project Manager harrie.rooijackers@esa.int

ESTEC-D/TEC-TEC-MTV WEB: http://www.esa.int

# WEB: www.blue-group.it/TCDT EMAIL: tcdtsw@blue-group.it

24nd European Thermal and ECLS Software Workshop 16-17 November 2010, ESA/ESTEC Sheet 16



\*\*

# **Appendix O**

# Advances in Frequency Domain Thermal Analysis Based On Linearized Thermal Networks

Martin Altenburg Johannes Burkhardt (EADS Astrium Friedrichshafen, Germany)

#### Abstract

The presentation will discuss further developments of the software tool TRANSFAST which transfers the classical thermal network to a standard linear control system followed by solving this linearized system either in the time domain or in the frequency domain. Application of this type of analysis becomes more and more important for current & future science missions which require ultra-stable S/C structures, with extremely demanding thermo-elastic stability requirements during operation. Present examples are the Gaia and the LISA/LISA Pathfinder missions. For these missions the thermal analysis accuracy has to be significantly improved because verification by on-ground testing is difficult or even impossible. The approach promises significant advantages compared to standard methods, delivering more accurate results with limited numerical effort.

The presentation will present two different numerical methods for solving one key issue, the inversion of the thermal system matrix, which is mandatory for transferring the system in the frequency domain. The methods are called *Direct Inversion of the Transformed System Matrix* (DIT) and *Conditioned Evaluation of the Frequency Response* (CEF) and will be compared with respect to numerical effort and performance.

Furthermore new post-processing features of the S/W tool will be addressed, allowing e.g. easy requirements breakdown to subsystems from the overall thermal stability requirement in early project phases, figure O.1.



Figure O.1: Dissipation stability requirement derivation for photodiodes (QPD) on the LISA optical bench; SIMO calculation: single input (QPD 1), multiple output (base plate nodes)

24th European Workshop on Thermal and ECLS Software November, 16-17, 2010, ESTEC, Noordwijk, The Netherlands Advances in Frequency Domain Thermal Analysis Based On Linearized Thermal Networks





ASTRIUM









command be	buy bostop mildon but hop	H H H H
ESATA	N Import	
Please Se import: Hint: Only	elect any ESATAN *.csv file to y one of them has to be selected!	file processed. Done
Pre-pro	cess Data	
Enter Bou "Enter"an	undary Vector and press d/or "Generate"	9999 15000 15001 15002 15003 15004 15005 15005 15007 15010 15020 15030 15040 15
	Please proceed with LTI Definition	Generate
	Proceed to Frequency Analys	sis CEF/DIT >> Proceed to ODE >> PLOT tool >>
- Report	Proceed to Frequency Analys	sis CEF/DIT >> Proceed to ODE >> PLOT tool >>
Report	Proceed to Frequency Analys Area doundary nodes in the Pre-process Field enerate to create the Linear Control Syste	em PLOT tool >> PLOT tool >> PLOT tool >>
- Report	Proceed to Frequency Analyse Area d boundary nodes in the Pre-process Field enerate to create the Linear Control Syste ystem successfully generated roceed to the next step by choosing one of to* buttons ssing complete	ais CEF/DIT >> Proceed to ODE >> PLOT tool >> d en of the
Report identifie and hit O Linear S Please p "Proceet Proceet HINT: to	Proceed to Frequency Analyse Area d boundary nodes in the Pre-process Field enerate to create the Linear Control Syste ystem successfully generated rocced to the next step by choosing one of sessing complete	sis CEF/DIT >> PLOT tool >> d em of the be changed





















# **Appendix P**

# Validation of the Martian Thermal Environment Modelling Method using Flight Data

Stéphane Lapensée (ESA/ESTEC, The Netherlands)

#### Abstract

The Martian surface environment is complex to model since it is composed of multiple diurnal flux inputs and temperature sinks. The Mars environment is similar to Earth's since it undergoes seasonal changes in its weather pattern. Through the past Mars missions, the surface environment has been study extensively. New discoveries are made and scientists are having a deeper understanding of the Martian surface environment. Several engineering tools and analysis methods have been developed over the years and are used to predict and model the thermal environment for future missions. However, there are fundamental questions that are raised regarding the precision of these tools and methods. Therefore, one solution would be to perform a validation by correlating thermal model results to flight data. However, the surface environment is very dynamic in terms of wind speed and direction as well as the fluctuation in the atmospheric dust content. Therefore, it is difficult to accurately determine the exact environmental condition through one Martian day in order to perform a correlation. Nevertheless, performing such an exercise will give us a certain level of confidence on the tools and analysis methods.

The presentation will give an overview of the tools available to determine the thermal environment and will explain how such an environment can be modeled using IDEAS/TMG. Furthermore, the tools and analysis method will be validated by comparing thermal model results with flight data from the Phoenix Meteorological Instrument.



# **Background Information**



- Several tools and analysis methods are used in the frame of the Exomars Program
  - Basic questions are asked regarding the accuracy of the tools and analysis methods to predict the Martian Environment
- Validation with flight data provides excellent feedback but it is not always possible or easy to perform
  - Few successful surface missions
  - Availability of flight data and correlated thermal models
  - Lack of Knowledge of the surrounding environment
  - In case of science instruments, science objective and science data have priority over engineering data, hence very few monitoring points
  - Time and budget allocation for thermal model correlation to flight data is not always a priority
    - No news is good news approach
- Any type of comparison with flight data provides confidence in the tools and methods, which in the end is a validation.

European Space Agency

2

# **Martian Environment**

- · Seasonal Variations that are repeatable
  - Solar Declination due to Mars axis inclination
  - Pressure variation caused by CO2 Migration
  - Atmospheric Dust increases due to storms
  - Thermal designs are based on multiple Landing Sites scenarios since the landing site is selected very late in the program
    - Cope with large temperature extremes due to surface properties and seasons
    - Extremes are scattered across multiple landing site scenarios and not within one specific landing site
      - Due to different latitudes of landing sites
- In order to perform correlation, a good knowledge of landing site during operations is required
  - Atmospheric Conditions changes daily
  - Wind speed and direction
  - Surface temperature and pressure



**esa** 



# LMD 1D Flux Engineering Tool to determine the Mars Environment

- Based on a global circulation model developed by Laboratoire de Météorologie Dynamique, through ESA Contract
  - http://www-mars.lmd.jussieu.fr/
- Produces surface temperature and solar flux profiles to be used in thermal analysis
- LMD validated 1D flux tool with Pathfinder and Viking data,
  - higher precision at Latitude -50° to 50°
- Independent comparison with JPL 1D Flux tool output was performed
- The input parameters to LMD Flux Tool are:
  - Areocentric longitude, Ls
  - Landing site latitude
  - Ground pressure
  - Atmospheric opacity
  - Local surface Albedo
  - Thermal inertia of near-surface ground layer
  - 4- Infrared emissivity of bare ground



European Space Agency

# LMD 1D Energy Balance Heat Fluxes Output

- Basic Thermal modelling software can reproduce
  - Radiative exchange
  - Ground Conductive exchange
- What is missing is the Mars atmospheric turbulent mixing model, which can be imported into the analysis from the LMD Tool
- LMD Flux tool can be used as a reference by extracting the energy balance data and compared to thermal simulation
- Always have a reference horizontal flat plate in the model in order to cross check results with Environmental inputs



European Space Agency



#### **Thermal Analysis Software Tools**

- Exomars Industrial team is currently using ESARAD/ESATAN for the thermal analysis of the 2016 and 2018 Exomars missions
  - Facilitates the exchange of thermal models
  - Mars Surface modeling method has been developed (Presented at previous ECLS Workshops)
- · ESTEC has separate models of the EDM and Rover in I-DEAS/TMG
  - Independent cross checks with industry models
  - Allows coupling analyses between CFD and surface thermal





esa

European Space Agency

esa

7 Heat Transfer Coefficients calculated with TMG/CFD

#### I-Deas/TMG Interface

- I-Deas/TMG has a built-in capability for planet body surface analysis
- Direct and diffuse solar fluxes can be modeled
- "Space" Nodes are used to model the Sky temperature
- Ground is modeled through dedicated elements

8

 Wind modeled with fixed or variable heat transfer coefficients or coupled through CFD



European Space Agency



Apply Reset Cancel

OK

10

European Space Agency



#### Thermal Characteristics of the MET Instrument



- Thermal Characteristics of the LIDAR
  - Fiberglas thermal blanket with Betacloth as an exterior layer
  - Thermally isolated from the Spacecraft Deck
  - Main heat loss contributor is the Sky
  - Temperature sensor located on the LIDAR Chassis
- Thermal Characteristics of the Mast Base
  - Thermally coupled to the spacecraft deck
  - Low emissivity finish
  - Sensitive to Solar fluxes
  - Main heat loss contributors are natural and forced convection
  - Temperature sensor located on the Mast Base

12

European Space Agency







#### **Calculated Phoenix Boundary Conditions** using LMD 1D Flux Tool



24th European Workshop on Thermal and ECLS Software



## **MET LIDAR Thermal Testing**

- Tested in an 8 mBar CO<sub>2</sub> environment in order to verify
  - Thermal design
  - Thermal distortion effect on alignment
  - Performance over temperature
- Thermal chamber temperature varied from 30°C to –100°C
  - $CO_2$  will condense below -100°C
- Natural Convection inside the LIDAR during testing was significant
  - Heat transfer coefficient correlated to test data and adjusted for Mars gravity

18



Ref: Thermal Design and Analysis of the Phoenix Mars Lander Meteorological Instrument, ICES 2007-01-3240, Canadian Space Agency

European Space Agency



Canadian Space Agency

#### **Calculated Forced Convection Coefficients**

Heat Transfer Coefficients Calculated using CFD

U <sub>∞</sub> (m/s)	0 (degre es)	h <sub>avg</sub> (W/m²/ K)	h <sub>min</sub> (W/m²/ K)	h <sub>max</sub> (W/m²/ K)
4.14	0	0.94	0.00	4.30
4.14	45	1.12	0.00	4.40

 $0.94 \le h_{\rm avg} \le 1.18 \ {\rm W/m^2/K}$ 

Box Shape Empirical Equation for Heat Transfer Coefficient

$$\frac{hd}{k_f} = 0.102 \cdot \text{Re}_{df}^{0.675} \cdot \text{Pr}_f^{\frac{1}{3}}$$

h=0.91 W/m<sup>2</sup>/K for 4 m/sec Wind

 $h_{a,a}^{h} \bigoplus_{\substack{a,b \\ a,b \\ a,b \\ a,c \\$ 

Ref: Phoenix Mars Lander Mission: Thermal and CFD Modeling of the Meteorological Instrument based on Flight Data, ICES 2010 AIAA 2010-6195, Stéphane Gendron and al., Canadian Space Agency

European Space Agency

esa

20



## LIDAR Simulated and Flight data Comparison for SOL 32-33

- Pressure sensor located on the PEB, which housed the LIDAR electronics and LIDAR Keep Alive Heater Voltage Regulator
- Temperature variations affect the pressure measurements, which provide information on the duty cycle of the LIDAR heater





## MAST Base and TEGA Simulated and Flight data Comparison

Larger temperature differences when compared to the LIDAR data .

- Uncertainty in the optical finish, as well as the level of accumulated Dust
- Forced Convection uncertainty, wind gust/speed and direction, blockage effects .
- Large Temperature difference for the TEGA instrument could be related to the power dissipation during operations since TEGA model used is based on assumptions

		MAST ITB			TEGA		
Sol #	LTST	Flight T	Model T	ΔT	Flight T	Model T	ΔT
	(hrs:min)	(°C)	(°C)	(°C)	(°C)	(°C)	(°C)
0	2:36	-68,1	-82,2	-14,2	-69,9	-72,4	-2,5
9	15:07	-12,9	-26,0	-13,2	-15,1	-23,8	-8,7
22	2:48	-69,4	-78,2	-8,9	-67,8	-69,8	-2,0
32	14:29	-13,6	-22,7	-9,2	-14,9	-23,1	-8,2
110	2:45	-77,8	-89,9	-12,2	-81,5	-80,1	1,4
119	14:18	-16,5	-27,4	-11,0	-7,3	-32,1	-24,8
147	3:26	-85,9	-98,2	-12,4	-90,6	-92,1	-1,5
14/	14:24	-24,8	-40,8	-16,1	11,0	-43,2	-54,2

Instrument based on Flight Data

24



## Conclusion



- Analysis methods is in agreement with flight data even though the process to determine the environment relies on remote sensing data and atmospheric models, which have a certain uncertainties
- Model of the LIDAR correlates very well since it is less sensitive to wind effects
- MET Mast predicted temperatures have a 9°C to 16°C difference with the flight data.
  - Mainly due to the optical finish uncertainty and wind blockage effects
- This aspect will be critical for the Exomars 2016 Lander mission
  - Further investigation could be performed on the Phoenix
     Flight data in order to further explain the temperature
  - differences, e.g. including variable heat transfer coefficient ropean Space Agency

Acknowledgement



*Canadian Space Agency* Stéphane Gendron, Guanghan Wang, Xin Xiang Jiang, Darius Nikanpour

> *University of Alberta* Jeffrey A. Davis, Carlos F. Lange

> > European Space Agency

27
# Appendix Q

# Adaptation of LSS Thermal Radiative Models for Bepi-Colombo 10 Solar Constants Tests

James Etchells

Duncan Gibson Philippe Poinas (ESA/ESTEC, The Netherlands) Giulio Tonellotto

### Abstract

To enable environmental testing of the Bepi Colobo S/C modules in a representative environment the Large Space Simulator (LSS) at ESTEC has been adapted to provide 10 solar constants illumination. This presentation introduces the modifications to the LSS ESATAN-TMS models that were made at ESTEC to enable test predictions to be made by the Bepi Colombo project team.







### Modifications to LSS for Bepi-Colombo (1)

- Initial requirement was to have up to 10 solar constants (up to 13,780 Wm<sup>-2</sup>) for the sun simulator with beam diameter 3 metre
  - Initial baseline was to replace lamps with 32kW lamps and adjust collimation mirrors to achieve 10SC
  - But, 32kW lamps were not possible so 25kW lamps used and beam diameter further reduced to 2.7m
- Bepi-Colombo MMO, MOSIF, MTM and MPO will be testing in the modified LSS



European Space Agency

# <section-header><section-header><image>

24th European Workshop on Thermal and ECLS Software

esa

### esa Modifications to LSS for Bepi-Colombo (3) Ð Nominal beam ф 2Т 301 7550 TO FACE OF FLANGED Modified conical beam 18440 19984 17860 6970 130 TOPFLOOR 12300+ Ø 9430 ſ Г ſ CLEARANCE 19404 SHROU HAMBER TESTFLOOR BLD25/Fg IZ 544 TESTFLOOP 1500 European Space Agency







# Modelling of the Beam in ESATAN-TMS esa **Radiative Requirements** • To correctly model the beam the following characteristics must be correctly represented a. Conical shape of the beam with correct convergence angle b. Correct beam intensity (10 SC close to the centre) c. Beam edge drop off Modelling Approach • Builds on previous work in Astrium (D), ESTEC To achieve the requirements above the following methods are used: ٠ a. Parabolic reflector to converge light rays b. Edge drop off modelled using a semi-transparent filter c. Flux level tuned using solar flux override European Space Agency Modelling Beam Convergence with



# Modelling Beam Convergence with parabolic Reflector (2) Parabolic Reflector Parabolic Reflector Aperture Suns Rays Suns Rays Suns Rays

### Modelling Beam Convergence with Parabolic Reflector (3)



esa













## Asymmetry of Beam Intensity





### Tuning of Beam Intensity (1)



- Central disk surrounded by annuli
- Overall flux level tuned using solar flux override





esa





### Conclusions and S/W Feature Requests



### **Conclusions**

- Modelling of beam convergence, intensity and drop-off has been achieved
- Correlation with measurement data is acceptable for middle plane
  - Some differences are expected with front/back plane
- Extensive sensitivity analysis was carried out to understand ray tracing effects
  - Model with S/C may be computationally demanding due to large number of rays required

### S/W Feature Requests

- A lamp or similar entity would be useful both IR and solar
- Refractive index for lensing could also have been used

European Space Agency

# **Appendix R**

# **List of Participants**

### **Baucher**, Marc

### **Bodendieck**, Frank

OHB-System AG Universitätsallee 27-29 28359 Bremen GERMANY i bodendieck@ohb-system.de

### **Benthem van, Roel**

National Areospace Laboratory NLR Antony Fokker 2 1059 CM Amsterdam NETHERLANDS 2 roel.van.benthem@nlr.nl

### **Bourbel**, Mouloud

### Benthem, Bruin

Dutch Space BV Breestraat 151-a 2311 CN LEIDEN NETHERLANDS is b.benthem@dutchspace.nl

### **Brand**, Oliver

ESA/ESTEC Keplerlaan 1 2201 AZ Noordwijk NETHERLANDS ☑ Oliver.Brand@esa.int

### Bernard, Mathieu

ASTRIUM 31 rue des Cosmonautes, Z.I. du Palays 31402 Toulouse Cedex 4 FRANCE 21 Mathieu.BERNARD@astrium.eads.net

### **Brouquet**, Henri

ITP Engines UK Ltd.
Cambridge Road, Whetstone
LE8 6LH Leicester
UNITED KINGDOM
☑ henri.brouquet@itp-engines.co.uk

### Brunetti, François

270

DOREA Les Alisiers Bat B1- Route des Alisiers- Zone des 06600 Antibes FRANCE francois.brunetti@dorea.fr

### **Etchells**, James

ESA/ESTEC Keplerlaan 1 2201 AZ Noordwijk NETHERLANDS 2 James.Etchells@esa.int

### Burkhardt, Johannes

EADS Astrium GmbH Claude-Dornier-Straße 88039 Friedrichshafen GERMANY igi johannes.burkhardt@astrium.eads.net

### Fagot, Alain

DOREA Les Alisiers Bat B1- Route des Alisiers- Zone des 06600 ANTIBES FRANCE ☑ alain.fagot@dorea.fr

### de Wolf, Hans

Dutch Space B.V. Mendelweg 30 2333 CS Leiden NETHERLANDS ☑ h.de.wolf@dutchspace.nl

### Ferreira, Pedro

Max Planck Institute for Solar System Research Max-Planck-Str. 2 37191 Katlenburg-Lindau GERMANY ferreira@mps.mpg.de

### **Dolce**, Silvio

ESA-ESTEC Postbus 299 2200 AG Noordwijk NETHERLANDS ☑ silvio.dolce@esa.int

### Giardino, Marco

Politecnico di Torino Corso Duca degli Abruzzi, 24 10129 Turin ITALY ☞ marco.giardino@polito.it

### Dudon, Jean-Paul

Thales Alenia Space 100 bd du midi 06156 cannes FRANCE igan-paul.dudon@thalesaleniaspace.com

### Gibson, Duncan

ESA/ESTEC Keplerlaan 1 2201 AZ Noordwijk NETHERLANDS ☑ Duncan.Gibson@esa.int

### Gorlani, Matteo

Blue engineering srl via albenga 98 10098 rivoli ITALY ☑ m.gorlani@blue-group.it

### **Kirtley, Chris**

ITP Engines UK Ltd. Cambridge Road, Whetstone, LE8 6LH Leicester UNITED KINGDOM ≰1 chris.kirtley@itp-engines.co.uk

### Hugonnot, Patrick

### Kneistler, Helge

Astrium Space Transportation Airbus-Allee 1 28199 Bremen GERMANY ☑ helge.kneistler@astrium.eads.net

### Jahn, Gerd

Astrium GmbH Claude-Dornier-Straße 88090 Immenstaad GERMANY ☑ Gerd.Jahn@astrium.eads.net

### Komarek, Martin

L.K. Engineering, s.r.o. Videnska 55 63900 Brno CZECH REPUBLIC i komarek@lke.cz

### Jarrier, Antoine

DOREA Les Alisiers Bat B1- Route des Alisiers- Zone des 06600 ANTIBES FRANCE antoine.jarrier@dorea.fr

### Kuhlmann, Stephan-André

OHB System AG Universitätsallee 27-29 28359 Bremen GERMANY i kuhlmann@ohb-system.de

### Jouffroy, Frédéric

### Laine, Benoit

ESA/ESTEC Keplerlaan 1 2201 AZ Noordwijk NETHERLANDS ☑ Benoit.Laine@esa.int

### Lapensee, Stéphane

ESA/ESTEC Keplerlaan 1 2201 AZ Noordwijk NETHERLANDS 24 Stephane.Lapensee@esa.int

### Persson, Jan

ESA Keplerlaan 1 2200 AG Noordwijk NETHERLANDS ≰ Jan.Persson@esa.int

### Leroy, Sandrine

DOREA Les Alisiers Bat B1- Route des Alisiers- Zone des 06600 ANTIBES FRANCE Strance and the second second

### Pietrafesa, Donata

Sofiter System Engineering Strada Antica di Collegno 253 10146 Turin ITALY ☑ donata.pietrafesa@external.thalesaleniaspace.com

### Molina, Marco

Carlo Gavazzi Space SpA via Gallarate 150 20151 MILANO ITALY ITALY mmolina@cgspace.it

### **Pin, Olivier**

ESA Keplerlaan 1 2200 AG Noordwijk NETHERLANDS ☞ Olivier.Pin@esa.int

### Parot, Gaël

### Rathjen, Harold

Astrium Space Transportation Airbus-Allee 1 28199 Bremen GERMANY ☑ harold.rathjen@astrium.eads.net

### Pasquier, Hélène-Marie

CNES 18 avenue Edouard Belin 31401 Toulouse FRANCE A helenem.pasquier@cnes.fr

### Romera Perez, Jose Antonio

ESA Keplerlaan 1 2201 AZ Noordwijk NETHERLANDS ☑ Jose.Antonio.Romera.Perez@esa.int

### **Rooijackers, Harrie**

ESA/ESTEC Keplerlaan 1 2201 AZ Noordwijk NETHERLANDS ≰ Harrie.Rooijackers@esa.int

### Stickel, Hartmut

Rockwell Collins Deutschland GmbH Grenzhöfer Weg 36 D-69123 Heidelberg GERMANY 21 hstickel@rockwellcollins.com

### Seweryn, Karol

### Supper, Wolfgang

ESA Keplerlaan 1 2200 AG Noordwijk NETHERLANDS ☑ Wolfgang.Supper@esa.int

### Shaughnessy, Bryan

Rutherford Appleton Laboratory Chilton OX11 0QX Oxford UNITED KINGDOM i bryan.shaughnessy@stfc.ac.uk

### Svensson, Oskar

ECAPS Solna Strandväg 86 17104 Solna SWEDEN ☞ oskar.svensson@ssc.se

### Sieber, Gunnar

Jena-Optronik Pruessingstrasse 41 07745 Jena GERMANY ♀ gunnar.sieber@jena-optronik.de

### Tentoni, Luca

Thales Alenia Space Strada Antica di Collegno 253 10146 Turin ITALY ☑ luca.tentoni@thalesaleniaspace.com

### Soriano, Timothée

Astrium 31 rue des cosmonautes 31402 Toulouse FRANCE Imothee.soriano@astrium.eads.net

### Theroude, Christophe

Astrium Satellites 31 rue des cosmosnautes 31402 Cedex 4 Toulouse FRANCE 21 christophe.theroude@astrium.eads.net

### **Tirolien**, Thierry

ESA/ESTEC Keplerlaan 1 2201 AZ Noordwijk NETHERLANDS 😰 Thierry.Tirolien@esa.int

### **Tonellotto, Giulio**

Aoes/ESA Keplerlaan 1 2201 AZ Noordwijk NETHERLANDS ⊈ giulio.tonellotto@esa.int

### Tosetto, Andrea

Blue engineering srl via albenga 98 10098 rivoli ITALY ☑ a.tosetto@blue-group.it

### Varewijck, George

AOES Keplerlaan 1 2200AG Noordwijk NETHERLANDS ⊈ george.varewijck@esa.int

### Zevenbergen, Paul

Dutch Space Mendelweg 30 2333CS Leiden NETHERLANDS ☑ p.zevenbergen@dutchspace.nl