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Abstract

This presentation gives an overview of the work done so far in developing a thermal control system for South Africa’s next generation Earth observation satellite. Correlated thermal models of critical major components were developed based on lessons learned from SumbandilaSAT (South Africa’s first national satellite). These include amongst others an electronic housing unit and a typical solar panel. In addition, the thermo-optical properties of commonly used coatings and tapes were also measured. The performance of the proposed thermal control system was evaluated using the NX™ Space Systems software. During the evaluation different satellite orientation modes were considered, as well as different mission scenarios. The results show that the suggested thermal control system creates a relatively low, uniform temperature inside the satellite.
1. INTRODUCTION

Scope
- Concept development
- Lessons-learned SumbandilaSAT, van der Merwe

Thermal design challenges
- Batteries: 15-30 °C, uniform temperature field
- Data transmitters: 110 W heat dissipation per transmitter
- CCDs: low operating temperature
- Optical bench: uniform temperature field
- Solar panels: 0-80 °C
- EHU: 0-40 °C
1. INTRODUCTION

Concept layout

2. ORBITAL HEAT LOAD

Orbit
- Circular Sun-synchronous orbit, 700 km, LTAN 22:30
- Orbit inclination 98.15 °, period 98.6 min

Orbital radiation, Gilmore\textsuperscript{2}
- Solar constant: 1367 W/m\textsuperscript{2}
- Earth IR emission: -18 ° C, 240 W/m\textsuperscript{2}
- Albedo: 30\% of incident solar radiative energy, diffuse reflection
- Deep space: 3 K

Beta angle, Chobotov\textsuperscript{3}
- Minimum angle between orbit plane and solar vector
- $\beta = \sin^{-1}(\hat{s} \cdot \hat{n}) = \sin^{-1}[\cos(\delta)\sin(i)\sin(\Omega_{AN} - \Omega_{TS}) + \sin(\delta)\cos(i)]$
2. ORBITAL HEAT LOAD

Beta angle
- $\beta = \sin^{-1}(\delta \cdot \hat{n}) = \sin^{-1}[\cos(\delta)\sin(i)\sin(\Omega_{AN} - \Omega_{TS}) + \sin(\delta)\cos(i)]$
- Meeus$^4$ algorithm for sun position vector
- $16.8^\circ < \beta < 27.2^\circ$

Eclipse fraction
- $\beta^* = \sin^{-1}\left(\frac{R}{(R+h)}\right)$, $0^\circ \leq \beta \leq 90^\circ$
- $f_E = \frac{1}{180^\circ} \cos^{-1}\left[\frac{(h^2+2Rh)^{1/2}}{(R+h)\cos \beta}\right]$, if $|\beta| < \beta^*$; $f_E = 0$, if $|\beta| \geq \beta^*$
3. INTERNAL HEAT LOAD

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MC</th>
<th>Coasting, W</th>
<th>Imaging, W</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>HR CCD</td>
<td>6.6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HR EHU</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MR CCD</td>
<td>8.4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MR EHU</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASF CCD</td>
<td>8.4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASF EHU</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASA CCD</td>
<td>8.4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASA EHU</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SWIR CCD</td>
<td>8.4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SWIR EHU</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X-DT EHU</td>
<td>110 each</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CTR-VU EHU</td>
<td>4.0 cont.</td>
<td>4.0 cont. &amp; 20.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CTR-S EHU</td>
<td>4.0 cont.</td>
<td>4.0 cont. &amp; 20.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RW (each)</td>
<td>5.0 cont.</td>
<td>5.0 cont.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HK EHU</td>
<td>15.0 cont.</td>
<td>15.0 cont.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DRS out</td>
<td>5.0 transmitting</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DRS in</td>
<td>7.0 imaging</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4. MISSION SCENARIOS

Mission phases
- Orbit: 14.6 revolution per day
- Coasting: Orbit 1 – 10
- Imaging: Orbit 11 – 12
- Cyclic stability: 10 orbits

Satellite orientation modes
- Least drag (LD)
- Sun-following (SF)
- Imaging (IM)

Coasting phase
- Sun-following (SF)
4. MISSION SCENARIOS

**Imaging phase**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mode</th>
<th>X-axis</th>
<th>Y-axis</th>
<th>Z-axis</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LD</td>
<td>Align orbit normal</td>
<td>Nadir pointing</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SF</td>
<td>Align orbit normal</td>
<td>Sun pointing</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IM</td>
<td>Align orbit normal</td>
<td>Nadir pointing</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Imaging phase: MCs switching sequence**

- Eclipse
- HR CCD
- HR EHU
- X-DT
- CTR-VU
- CTR-S
- RW
- HK
- DRS out
- DRS in
5. PROPOSED TCS

High heat dissipating MCs
- Use primary structure as radiator, good thermal contact
- Strategic placing: external area with low solar irradiation and high IR emission

Batteries
- Batteries: area of low fluctuation in orbital and internal heat load

Thermal isolation
- Solar panels: prevent temperature gradients inside satellite
- Optical payload: ensure stable, uniform temperature

Materials

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Component</th>
<th>Coating</th>
<th>Material</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Solar panels</td>
<td>Graphite epoxy, $\varepsilon = 0.85$, $\alpha = 0.93$</td>
<td>Al honeycomb, bare CF skins</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Optical baffles</td>
<td>Black Z306, $\varepsilon = 0.87$, $\alpha = 0.95$</td>
<td>CF epoxy (25% vol.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rest of satellite</td>
<td>Alodine®, $\varepsilon = 0.15$, $\alpha = 0.08$</td>
<td>Al 6082 - T6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
6. THERMAL MODEL

Assumptions and techniques
- Perfect thermal contact between MCs and primary structure
- Grey-body radiation, $\alpha = \varepsilon$
- All EHUs modelled as thin-walled boxes (zero temperature gradient over wall thickness), similarly for optical baffles and primary structure
- Heat loads applied uniformly over EHU

Performance evaluation
- Two mission phases
- Two beta angles: 16.8°, 27.2°

7. PERFORMANCE OF TCS

Graphs showing temperature changes over time for different phases and components.
7. PERFORMANCE OF TCS

X-DTs
- Coasting: low nominal temperature mainly due to absence of internal heat loads; -10.3 °C to -7.3 °C
- Imaging: Temperature jump 40 °C due to 110 W internal heat load; -9.9 °C to 45.2 °C

Optics
- CCDs not modelled hence EHU response is used
- Coasting: Don’t reached cyclic stability
- Imaging: Temperature jump less than 7.5 °C

Solar panels
- Coasting: side -45 °C to 68 °C; main -30 °C to 121 °C
- Imaging: side -50°C to 78°C; main -38 °C to 133 °C
7. PERFORMANCE OF TCS

General
- Satellite experiences uniform orbital heat load
- Hot and cold condition mainly due to mission scenarios
- The suggested TCS seems to create a relatively low uniform temperature inside satellite
- More internal heat loads will be incorporated in the future which will probably increase the temperature

8. EXPERIMENTAL WORK

EHU
- EHUs modelled as thin-walled boxes
- Thermal model comparison: thermal vacuum test
Solar panels
- Effective thermal conductivity models: Swann and Pittman\textsuperscript{5}, Gilmore, Daryabeigi\textsuperscript{6} (modified Swann and Pittman model: glued interfaces and CF sheets)
- Expanding effective model to an equivalent continuum \((k, \rho, c_p)\) similar to Liu\textsuperscript{7}: 3D, orthotropic, transient conduction
- Thermal model still under investigation results look promising
8. EXPERIMENTAL WORK

Solar panels

Thermo-optical measurements
- Physics Department of the University of the Western Cape using a Varian Cary 1/3 spectrophotometer
- Spectral values: hemispherical averaged and specular reflectivity (measured), hemispherical averaged absorptivity (indirect)
8. EXPERIMENTAL WORK

TCS
- Relatively low uniform temperature inside the satellite
- Future work: update thermal model and adjust TCS where needed

Experimental work
- EHU thermal model shows good comparisons with measured data
- Similarly for the SP thermal model
- Thermo-optical test results shows good comparison with published data
- Future work: Complete SP thermal model, expand and refine thermo-optical databases

9. Conclusion
9. References
