



ESTEC 15<sup>th</sup> Thermal and ECLS Software

October 2001





### How?

- Creating a Mother mathematical model
- Selecting input parameters and giving them a variation law
- Selection of a combination of input values with the Monte Carlo methodology
- Cloning the Mother model for each set of input variables combination
- Running deterministic cases with a cloned model per combination

ESTEC 15<sup>th</sup> Thermal and ECLS Software

October 2001





### Thermal problems on spacecraft solved by STORM

#### Stochastic Problems

- Uncertainty analysis
- Correlation of test results
- Design improvements

Non Stochastic Problems

- Critical design cases selection
- Definition of designs
  - Radiation areas
  - Robust heating systems

ESTEC 15<sup>th</sup> Thermal and ECLS Software

October 2001



October 2001

5







### STOCHASTIC APPROACH TO SPACECRAFILIES

### Uncertainty analysis of a satellite (cont.)

### SIGNIFICANT INPUT VARIABLES













### Uncertainty analysis of a satellite (cont.)

### PROBABILISTIC DATA OF UNCERTAINTY ANALYSIS

N.Var	Num. shot	X_Min	X_Max	Conf_Mean	Mean	Conf_Mean+	Conf_Std	Std	Conf_Std+	Var
in_0	80	0.37	0.42	0.391	0.395	0.399	0.015	0.0174	0.0206	0.0003
in_1	80	0.35	0.44	0.389	0.395	0.401	0.0238	0.0275	0.0325	0.00075
in_2	80	0.87	0.91	0.887	0.89	0.893	0.0123	0.0142	0.0169	0.0002
in_3	80	0.4	0.7	0.538	0.553	0.567	0.0584	0.0675	0.0799	0.00455
in_4	80	2	7	4.11	4.49	4.86	1.48	1.71	2.03	2.94
in_5	80	20	30	24.4	25	25.6	2.5	2.89	3.42	8.33
in_6	80	8.01	10.9	9.28	9.48	9.67	0.754	0.872	1.03	0.76
in_7	80	9.51	14.9	11.9	12.2	12.6	1.41	1.63	1.93	2.66
in_8	80	4	6.9	5.25	5.45	5.64	0.765	0.884	1.05	0.782
out_0	80	4.42	8.13	5.76	5.96	6.15	0.774	0.895	1.06	0.8
out_1	80	19.4	36.3	26.2	27	27.9	3.2	3.7	4.38	13.7
out_2	80	12.9	25.9	18.1	18.7	19.3	2.32	2.68	3.18	7.19
out_3	80	17.6	34.6	24.3	25.1	25.9	3.26	3.76	4.46	14.2

ESTEC 15<sup>th</sup> Thermal and ECLS Software

October 2001

13



### STOCHASTIC APPROACH TO SPACECRAFILIES

CASA ESPACIO

### Uncertainty analysis of a satellite (cont.)

### PROBABILISTIC DATA OF UNCERTAINTY ANALYSIS

N.Var	Modal class	Modal class+	CV(%)	Avdev	Skewness	Kurtosis	Description
in_0	0.407	0.414	4.4	0.0152	-0.0219	-1.31	ALPHA Rear Frame CLEAR A.
in_1	0.35	0.361	7	0.024	-7.47E-06	-1.24	ALPHA Rear Frame CHROMIC A.
in_2	0.87	0.875	1.6	0.012	-7.55E-06	-1.3	EPS Rear Frame CLEAR ANOD.
in_3	0.475	0.512	12.2	0.06	0.158	-0.246	EPS Rear Frame CHROMIC AN.
in_4	2	2.62	38.2	1.49	-0.00899	-1.24	MLI FACTOR
in_5	25	26.2	11.5	2.45	-0.00318	-1.12	OBDH POWER
in_6	9.82	10.2	9.2	0.768	-0.0243	-1.3	TRP POWER
in_7	11.5	12.2	13.3	1.39	0.00353	-1.22	PCU POWER
in_8	4.36	4.72	16.2	0.765	0.00477	-1.27	MW POWER
out_0	4.88	5.35	15	0.742	0.558	-0.399	Batt Temp
out_1	27.8	29.9	13.7	3.05	0.0917	-0.621	OBDH Temp
out_2	17.8	19.4	14.3	2.07	0.408	0.226	TRP Temp
out_3	21.9	24	15	3.05	0.238	-0.348	PCU Temp







CASA ESPACIO

### STOCHASTIC APPROACH TO SPACECRAFILIES

### **Critical cases selection**

- Critical Cases Selection based on temperatures for a fixed design and not based on environments
  - Steady State
  - Transient

All thermal parameters (Radiation data, heat inputs and thermal model) can be changed

- Orbit altitude, inclination, ascending node...
- Satellite attitude
- External Radiation values (solar, albedo, terrestrial)
- Season
- Conductances



### Critical cases selection (cont.)

- Thermal Capacities
- Heating Power and/or thresholds
- Unit power dissipation (sunlight/eclipse)

#### Note:

- THERE IS A CRITICAL CASE FOR EACH UNIT OR SATELLITE ELEMENT
- CRITICAL CASES SELECTION DEPENDS ON THE RESPONSE OF THE DESIGN, NOT ON THE INPUTS

ESTEC 15<sup>th</sup> Thermal and ECLS Software

October 2001

17

**STOCHASTIC APPROACH TO SPACECRAFTICS Critical cases selection (cont.) I Example:** Spanish MINISAT. 3 years of flight .
Radiators on lateral faces
Sun pointed (Nutation up to 7 degrees)
Attitude around sun axis 0:360°. YAW angle
Orbit inclination 150° (any ascending node)
Note: Any combination of orbit ascending node, season and

October 2001

satellite attitude is feasible depending on launch day.



### Critical cases selection (cont.)







EADS

CASA ESPACIO

STOCHASTIC APPROACH TO SPACECRAFILIES

### **Thermal test correlation**

#### Present Approach

- Check temperature deviations
- Select a parameter. Modify model
- Verify the response for all thermocouples
- Select other parameter. Modify model
- New runs and verification of results
- Repeat process to meet correlation criteria
- Total analysis loop working time in weeks to months

#### Stochastic Approach

- Definition of main parameters related to heat transfer in the model. CORRELATION MATRIX
- Selection of parameters variation range and interval to move their range.
- Run all test cases imposing objectives
- Verification of feasible correlation results.
- Local problems solution
- Total analysis working time in days



EADS

CASA ESPACIO

### STOCHASTIC APPROACH TO SPACECRAFILICS

#### TEST RESULTS CORRELATION & FLIGHT PREDICTIONS. PHASE C/D





### Thermal test correlation (cont.)

- Example of XMIM-MSP thermal balance test
  - Temperature depends on
  - three main parameters
  - (Conductance to SVM, MLI conductance,
  - Closing foil internal emittance)





### Thermal test correlation (cont.)



- Mean deviations lower than 2 degrees.
- Standard deviation lower than 3 degrees.
- Critical elements deviation lower than 5 degrees.

ESTEC 15<sup>th</sup> Thermal and ECLS Software

October 2001

25

EADS CASA ESPACIO

STOCHASTIC APPROACH TO SPACECRAFILICS

## Thermal test correlation (cont.)

#### Test correlation requirements

- Mean deviations lower than 2 degrees.
- Standard deviation lower than 3 degrees.
- Critical elements deviation lower than 5 degrees.

variables List
Input Variables: 3
dv_0> MLI FACTOR
$dv_1 = ->$ EMILIANCE FOLL FACTOR $dv_2 = ->$ CONDUCTANCE SVM
Output Variables: 14
obj_0> Total Mean
obj_1> Total SD
obj_2> LTT +Z
$obj_3 = -> LTT - Z$
$obj_4 = -> LAI MSP = 2$
out f = Mean LTT
out 7> SD LTT
out 8> Mean Centre MSP
out_9> SD Centre MSP
out_10> Mean LAT MSP
out_11> SD LAT MSP
out_12> Mean WEBS
out_13> MSP WEBS













### Thermal test correlation (cont.)



# STOCHASTIC APPROACH TO SPACECRAFILICS

CASA ESPACIO

EADS

### Thermal test correlation (cont.)

### □ IS IT POSSIBLE TO REACH ALL OBJECTIVES?

It is not possible to reach a mean deviation cero and standard deviation cero

That is the effect of non used input variables





### FLIGHT RESULTS CORRELATION. PHASE E

#### OBJECTIVES

- To verify in-orbit performances
- To justify anomalies and deviations
- PROBLEMS IN THIS PHASE
  - Correlation between model and telemetry data with uncertain enviromental conditions
  - Limited data from S/C to assess anomalies and deviations

#### PROPOSAL

- Stochastic analysis combining all parameters and statistical treatment.
  - Great amount of scenarios to be evaluated
  - Pathologic behaviors
  - Levels of confidence to support conclusions
  - Corrective actions

ESTEC 15<sup>th</sup> Thermal and ECLS Software

October 2001

<image><section-header>

ESTEC 15<sup>th</sup> Thermal and ECLS Software









#### <u>CONCLUSIONS</u>

Utilization of probabilistic analysis methods directly considering the scatter of parameters and their distributions (e.g. loads, geometry, and material properties) provides additional information of the designs.

- Introduction of concepts such as Robustness, Flexible, Optimum or Cost Effective allows choosing the "BEST DESIGN"
- Drawbacks: The use of massive analysis requests a very well conditioned heat transfer phenomena of the S/C. This method does not substitute expertise by number of uncontrolled runs.
- Implementation at EADS CASA Espacio:
  - Soil Moisture and Ocean Salinity (SMOS) instrument, (phase A).
  - XMM Mirror Support Platform and Meteosat Second Generation thermal test correlation.
  - Spanish Minisat flight performance verification.
  - NEXT: GalileoSat, A5 Vehicle Equipment Bay

ESTEC 15<sup>th</sup> Thermal and ECLS Software

October 2001

37